arXiv:1003.4246v6 [gr-qc] 26 Jul 2010

COMBINING GRAVITY WITH THE FORCES OF THE STANDARD MODEL ON A COSMOLOGICAL SCALE

CLAUS GERHARDT

Abstract. We prove the existence of a spectral resolution of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation when the underlying spacetime is a Friedman universe with flat spatial slices and where the matter fields are comprised of the strong interaction, with SU(3) replaced by a general SU(n), $n \geq 2$, and the electro-weak interaction.

The wave functions are maps from $\mathbb{R}^{4n+10}$ to a subspace of the antisymmetric Fock space, and one noteworthy result is that, whenever the electro-weak interaction is involved, the image of an eigenfunction is in general not one dimensional, i.e., in general it makes no sense specifying a fermion and looking for an eigenfunction the range of which is contained in the one dimensional vector space spanned by the fermion.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Conventions and definitions 4
3. The strong interaction 5
4. Quantization of the Lagrangian 11
5. A first-class constraint 17
6. The electro-weak interaction 27
7. Quantization of the full Lagrangian 31
8. The vector space defined by the constraints of the electro-weak interaction 33
9. The eigenvalue problem for the strong interaction 35
10. The eigenvalue problem for the electro-weak interaction 41
11. The spectral resolution 46
References 52

Date: July 27, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60, 53C21, 53C44, 53C50, 58J05, 83C45.

Key words and phrases. Quantum cosmology, standard model, Yang-Mills fields, unification.

1. INTRODUCTION

In three former papers [10, 9, 11] we proved a spectral resolution of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in the cosmological case—at least in principle. When the spatial slices of the underlying Friedman-Robertson-Walker universe are flat we have developed a model in [11] with strictly positive energy levels—albeit for a single SO(3) gauge field. For a definition of positive energy levels in this situation see [11, introduction].

In Friedman-Robertson-Walker models the matter Lagrangians must reflect the spacetime symmetries up to gauge transformations, and hence very special ansätze for the gauge fields have to be considered. For SO(n) resp. SU(n) gauge fields such ansätze are known for some time, cf. [1] and [13], but due to their special nature these ansätze introduce a number of non-dynamical variables into the Lagrangian resulting in additional first-class constraints. Hence, any attempt to generalize our previous results to higher dimensional gauge groups faced two major challenges, first, to handle these additional constraints and second, to handle a large number of dynamical bosonic variables—in fact any number larger than 1 posed a problem for the actual spectral resolution when an implicit eigenvalue problem for the gravitational Hamiltonian has to be solved and one has to prove that a (weighted) L^2-norm is compact compared with the gravitational energy norm. The former proof only worked in case of a single bosonic matter variable.

These difficulties could be solved: the additional constraint equations are taken care of by considering a special infinite dimensional subspace

$$E \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4n+10}, \mathcal{F}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite dimensional subspace of the antisymmetric Fock space, as the core domain, while in case of the implicit eigenvalue problem the compactness property could be proved.

We consider as underlying spacetime a Friedman-Robertson-Walker space $N = N^4$ with flat spatial sections and the Lagrangian functional has the form

$$J = \alpha_M^{-1} \int_\Omega (\bar R - 2\Lambda) + \int_\Omega L_{M_1} + \int_\Omega L_{M_2}, \tag{1.2}$$

where L_{M_1} is the Lagrangian of the strong interaction, though we have replaced the $SU(3)$ connection by a general SU(n), $n \ge 2$, connection, and L_{M_2} is the Lagrangian for the electro-weak interaction.

The cosmological constant Λ is very important, since it will play the role of an eigenvalue when we solve the implicit eigenvalue problem. It will turn out that Λ has to be negative.

The core domain E in (1.1) can be written as an orthogonal sum

$$E = \bigoplus_{1 \le k,l \le 9} E_{kl}, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$E_{kl} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4n+10}, F_{\sigma_k} \otimes F_{\rho_l}) \tag{1.4}$$

and F_{σ_k} resp. F_{ρ_l} are orthogonal subspaces in the fermion spaces $\mathcal{F}_1$ resp. $\mathcal{F}_2$ spanned by the fermions of the strong resp. electro-weak interaction. For the electro-weak interaction we have

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \bigoplus_{1\le l\le 9} F_{\rho_l}, \tag{1.5}$$

but the F_{σ_k} fail to generate $\mathcal{F}_1$. Each of the E_{kl} generates an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{kl}$ in which we solve a spectral resolution for the Wheeler-deWitt equation. Since the $\mathcal{H}_{kl}$ are mutually orthogonal we can then define a spectral resolution in the orthogonal sum.

The main results can be summarized in:

1.1. **Theorem.** *There exist* 81 *Hilbert spaces* $\mathcal{H}_{kl}$ *as described above, a detailed description will be given in the last three sections, and a self-adjoint operator* H *in*

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{1\le k,l\le 9} \mathcal{H}_{kl}, \tag{1.6}$$

such that, for fixed (k,l)*, there exists a complete sequence of eigenfunctions* $\tilde{\Psi}_{ij} \in \mathcal{H}_{kl}$, $(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$, *with eigenvalues* λ_{ij} *of finite multiplicities satisfying*

$$H\tilde{\Psi}_{ij} = \lambda_{ij}\tilde{\Psi}_{ij}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$0<\lambda_{ij} \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_{ij}=\infty \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{j\to\infty}\lambda_{ij}=0. \tag{1.8}$$

The eigenfunctions are maps from

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^{4n+10} \to F_{\sigma_k}\otimes F_{\rho_l}. \tag{1.9}$$

Let t *be the variable which corresponds to the logarithm of the scale factor, then the rescaled eigenfunctions*

$$\Psi_{ij}(t,\cdot) = \tilde{\Psi}_{ij}(t-\tfrac{1}{2}\log\lambda_{ij},\cdot) \tag{1.10}$$

are solutions of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation with cosmological constant

$$\Lambda_{ij} = -\lambda_{ij}^{-3}. \tag{1.11}$$

1.2. **Remark.** (i) Instead of considering both the strong and the electro-weak interactions each can be treated separately leading to similar results.

(ii) The method of proof can be applied to finitely many matter fields.

(iii) Whenever the electro-weak interaction is involved the eigenfunctions Ψ in general cannot be written as simple products

$$\Psi = u\eta, \tag{1.12}$$

such that

$$\eta\in\mathcal{F}_1\otimes\mathcal{F}_2 \quad \wedge \quad u(x)\in\mathbb{C} \qquad \forall\, x\in\mathbb{R}^{4n+10}. \tag{1.13}$$

Thus, in general it makes no sense specifying a fermion η and looking for an eigenfunction Ψ satisfying

$$R(\Psi) \subset \langle\eta\rangle. \tag{1.14}$$

(iv) The number 81 of mutually orthogonal Hilbert spaces is due to the fact that the fermionic constraint operators $\hat{l}_k$ resp. $\hat{\lambda}_0$ of the strong ($\mathrm{SU}(n)$) resp. electro-weak interaction each have exactly 9 eigenspaces due to their definitions as the sum of number operators.

2. Conventions and definitions

In this section we give a brief overview of our conventions and definitions.

We denote the Minkowski metric by η_{ab}, $0 \le a, b \le 3$,

$$(\eta_{ab}) = \operatorname{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1) \tag{2.1}$$

and define the Dirac matrices accordingly

$$\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\}_+ = 2\eta^{ab}. \tag{2.2}$$

γ^0 is antihermitean and γ^k hermitean. When we are dealing with normal spinors, e.g., in case of the strong interaction, we choose a basis such that

$$\gamma^0 = i \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{I} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

However, when Weyl spinors are considered, e.g., in case of the electro-weak interaction, we choose a basis such that the helicity operator γ^5 is represented as

$$\gamma^5 = -\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = i \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{I} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

then γ^0 has the form

$$\gamma^0 = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

The γ^k, $1 \le k \le 3$, are defined by

$$\gamma^k = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_k \\ -\sigma_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.6}$$

in both cases, where σ_k are the Pauli matrices.

Let $\psi = (\psi_a)$ be a spinor, then a bar simply denotes complex conjugation

$$\bar{\psi} = (\bar{\psi}_a); \tag{2.7}$$

the symbol $\tilde{\psi}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\psi} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^0, \tag{2.8}$$

where the notation on the right-hand side automatically implies that now $\bar{\psi}$ has to be understood as a row, since γ^0 acts from the right.

The meaning of symbols may depend on the section where they are used, e.g., the symbols $\|\cdot\|$ resp. $\|\cdot\|_1$ denote different norms, though their specific definitions will depend on the contexts in which they are used, though $\|\cdot\|$ always denotes a (weighted) L^2-norm and $\|\cdot\|_1$ a stronger energy norm.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $1 \le n$, be an open set, then we denote by

$$H^{1,2}(\Omega) \tag{2.9}$$

the usual Sobolev space with norm

$$\int_\Omega \{|Du|^2 + |u|^2\}. \tag{2.10}$$

When E is Banach space and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as before we denote the space of test functions defined in Ω with values in E by

$$C_c^\infty(\Omega, E). \tag{2.11}$$

We also use a correction term χ_0 occasionally when defining the Lagrangian, which is a *function* defined in the space of Lorentz metrics on N such that, when χ_0 is evaluated at a metric of the form

$$d\bar{s}^2 = -w^{-2}(dx^0)^2 + e^{2f}\sigma_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \tag{2.12}$$

then

$$\chi_0 = e^{6f}, \tag{2.13}$$

cf. [9, Lemma 3.1].

3. The strong interaction

The underlying gauge group for the strong interaction is SU(3). We shall consider a general SU(n), $n \ge 2$, instead, since an arbitrary n poses no greater challenges.

As already mentioned in the introduction we have to look at very special gauge fields that reflect the symmetries of the underlying spacetime up to a gauge transformation. When the spacetime is a Friedman-Robertson-Walker space which is topologically either

$$N = \mathbb{R} \times S^3 \tag{3.1}$$

or

$$N = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{3.2}$$

the gauge fields have to be either SO(4) symmetric, i.e., symmetric with respect to both left and right actions of SU(2) $\cong$ $SO(3)$ on the spacelike sections of N, or symmetric with respect to rigid motions in $\mathbb{R}^3$ after an appropriate gauge transformation.

Let the spacetime metric satisfy

$$d\bar{s}^2 = -w^2 {dx^0}^2 + e^{2f}\sigma_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \tag{3.3}$$

where (σ_{ij}) is the standard metric of a space of constant curvature $\mathcal{S}_0$, at the moment we allow the possibilities $\mathcal{S}_0 = S^3$ or $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathbb{R}^3$, but later we shall stipulate $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathbb{R}^3$, and let the left-invariant 1-forms ω^a, $1 \le a \le 3$ satisfy

$$\sigma_{ij} = \delta_{ab}\omega^a_i\omega^b_j \quad \wedge \quad \sigma^{ij}\omega^a_i\omega^b_j = \delta^{ab} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$d\omega^a = \begin{cases} 0, & \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^a{}_{bc}\omega^b\omega^c, & \mathcal{S}_0 = S^3. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Let E_{km} be the matrices

$$E_{km} = (\delta^i_k\delta_{mj}) \tag{3.6}$$

for $1 \le k, m \le n+3$ and set

$$T_{km} = E_{km} - E_{mk} \tag{3.7}$$

for $1 \le k \ne m \le n+3$.

The T_{km} with $1 \le k < m \le 3$ are generators of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ or equivalently of the Lie algebra of the adjoint representation of SU(2) which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. The precise correspondence with the Pauli matrices will be given later in Section 6.

We stipulate that the indices a, b, c, when used in connection with these generators or with the matrices in (3.6) or (3.7), will always run from 1 to 3.

Following [1][1] and [13] we define the connection $A = A(t)$ by

$$A(t) = \hat{A}(t) + B(t), \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\hat{A}(t) = (\varLambda^{km}(t)E_{k+3,m+3} - \tfrac{1}{3}\varLambda^k_k(t)E^a_a)dt, \tag{3.9}$$

$$B(t) = (-\varphi_0 T_{bc}\epsilon_a{}^{bc} + \bar{z}^k(t)E_{a,k+3} - z^k E_{k+3,a})\omega^a_i dx^i, \tag{3.10}$$

$(\varLambda^{km}(t))$, $1 \le k, m \le n$, is an arbitrary antihermitian matrix, $\varphi_0 = \varphi_0(t)$ a real function and $z^k = z^k(t)$, $1 \le k \le n$, arbitrary complex valued functions. The bar indicates complex conjugation.

Writing

$$A = A_\mu dx^\mu \tag{3.11}$$

the connection (A_μ) then has values in $\mathfrak{su}(n+3)$. The connection

$$\hat{A} = \hat{A}_\mu dx^\mu = \hat{A}_0 dx^0 \tag{3.12}$$

can be viewed as being a general element of $\mathfrak{u}(n)$, when $\hat{A}_0$ is considered to be a homomorphism in the n-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n+3}$ defined by

$$\{\,\zeta = (0,0,0,\zeta^{1+3},\dots,\zeta^{n+3})\colon \zeta^{k+3} \in \mathbb{C},\ 1 \le k \le n\,\} \cong \mathbb{C}^n. \tag{3.13}$$

---

[1] In the appendix of this paper the necessary procedures for a spacetime $N = \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}_0$ with a general homogeneous space $\mathcal{S}_0$ is described.

For convenience we shall label the components of ζ in the form

$$\zeta = (0,0,0,\zeta^k) \equiv (\zeta^k) \tag{3.14}$$

in this case.

However, we shall consider $\hat{A}_0$ as a general U(n) connection only for $n = 1$. In case $n \geq 2$ we shall in addition require

$$\varLambda^k_k = 0 \tag{3.15}$$

such that A_0 has values in $\mathfrak{su}(n)$. $\hat{A} = \hat{A}(t)$ will then be the actual SU(n) connection.

The corresponding matter Lagrangian comprises three terms: the energy of the gauge field

$$L_{YM_1} = \tfrac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}(F_{\mu\lambda}F^{\mu\lambda}), \tag{3.16}$$

a Higgs term

$$L_{H_1} = -\big(\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{g}^{\mu\lambda}\varPhi_\mu\bar{\varPhi}_\lambda\chi_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} + U(\varPhi)\chi_0^{-\frac{2}{3}}\big), \tag{3.17}$$

and a massive Dirac Lagrangian describing the fermionic sector

$$L_{F_1} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\{\tilde{\psi}_i E^\mu_a\gamma^a(D_\mu\psi)^i + \overline{\tilde{\psi}_i E^\mu_a\gamma^a(D_\mu\psi)^i}\} - m\tilde{\psi}_i\psi^i\chi_0^{-\frac{1}{6}}. \tag{3.18}$$

3.1. **Lemma.** *Let $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathbb{R}^3$ and A be the connection in (3.8), then its energy*

$$F^2 = -\operatorname{tr}(F_{\mu\lambda}F^{\mu\lambda}) \tag{3.19}$$

can be expressed as

$$F^2 = -12\{2|\dot{\varphi}_0|^2 + |\tfrac{D}{dt}z|^2\}w^{-2}e^{-2f} + 12\{\varphi_0^4 + 8\varphi_0^2|z|^2 + |z|^4\}e^{-4f}, \tag{3.20}$$

where, in case $n \geq 2$,

$$\tfrac{D}{dt}z^k = \dot{z}^k + \varLambda^k_m z^m, \tag{3.21}$$

and $\varLambda \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$, while for $n = 1$, $\varLambda \in \mathfrak{u}(1)$,

$$\varLambda = \varLambda^{11} = i\vartheta(t), \qquad \vartheta(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\tfrac{D}{dt}z = \dot{z} + \tfrac{4}{3}i\vartheta z. \tag{3.23}$$

Proof. The proof is straight-forward by observing that, when choosing local coordinates such that $\omega^a_j = \delta^a_j$,

$$F_{0j} = -\dot{\varphi}_0\epsilon_a{}^{bc}T_{bc}\omega^a_j + \{-\tfrac{D}{dt}z^k E_{k+3,j} + \overline{\tfrac{D}{dt}z^m}E_{j,m+3}\}, \tag{3.24}$$

where the different definitions of the covariant derivative of z is due to the fact that, in case $n \geq 2$, $\varLambda$ has the trace zero.

The other non-vanishing components F_{ij}, $i \neq j$, are

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij} = &- 4\varphi_0^2\epsilon_i{}^{bc}\epsilon_{jb}{}^{c'}T_{cc'} - 4\varphi_0\bar{z}^k\epsilon_i{}^{bj}E_{b,k+3} \\ &+ 4\varphi_0 z^k\epsilon_i{}^{cj}E_{k+3,c} - |z|^2 T_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

The final result is then a simple computation. □

Let us now look at the Higgs term. The scalar field $\varPhi = (\varPhi^k)$ has values in $\mathbb{C}^{n+3}$, or effectively in $\mathbb{C}^n$, according to the conventions in (3.13) and (3.14).

The covariant derivative $D_\mu \varPhi = \varPhi_\mu$ can be defined either by

$$\varPhi_\mu = \varPhi_{,\mu} + g_1 A_\mu \varPhi \tag{3.26}$$

or by

$$\varPhi_\mu = \varPhi_{,\mu} + g_1 \hat{A}_\mu \varPhi, \tag{3.27}$$

where g_1 is a positive coupling constant. Both definitions make sense. In (3.26) we consider the full connection A, while in (3.27) only the effective connection $\hat{A} \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$ resp. $\hat{A} \in \mathfrak{u}(1)$, when $n = 1$, is taken into account.

Evaluating

$$|D\varPhi|^2 = \bar{g}^{\mu\lambda} \varPhi_\mu \bar{\varPhi}_\lambda \tag{3.28}$$

in case of (3.26) we obtain

$$|D\varPhi|^2 = -w^{-2} |\tfrac{D}{dt} \varPhi|^2 + 3 g_1^2 e^{-2f} |\langle \varPhi, z\rangle|^2, \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$\tfrac{D}{dt} \varPhi^k = \dot{\varPhi}^k + g_1 \varLambda^k_m \varPhi^m \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\langle \varPhi, z \rangle = \varPhi_k \bar{z}^k. \tag{3.31}$$

In case of (3.27) we have

$$|D\varPhi|^2 = -w^{-2} |\tfrac{D}{dt} \varPhi|^2. \tag{3.32}$$

The additional lower order term in (3.29) would have the effect that the bosonic Hilbert space, we will be working in after quantization, would no longer be invariant with respect to the corresponding Hamiltonian. Though the overall solvability wouldn't be endangered the lacking invariance suggests that the effective connection will also be the more natural one and we shall always use the definition (3.27).

The potential $U = U(\varPhi)$ should be of the form

$$U = U_0(|\varPhi|^2) \tag{3.33}$$

with a smooth U_0 such that after quantization the resulting Hamiltonian, combining Yang-Mills and Higgs field, is self-adjoint with a complete sequence of eigenvectors having positive eigenvalues.

Requiring the estimate

$$-c_2 + c_1 |\varPhi|^{2p} \le U(\varPhi) \le c_1' |\varPhi|^{2p} + c_2', \tag{3.34}$$

with $1 \le p \in \mathbb{N}$ and positive constants c_1, c_1' and non-negative c_2, c_2', will guarantee a complete set of eigenvectors. However, a finite number of eigenvalues could be negative under this very weak assumptions. A positive lower bound of the eigenvalues can be proved, if either the constant c_2 is small relative to c_1 or if U satisfies the additional condition

$$U(\mathit{\Phi}) \ge 0. \tag{3.35}$$

Hence, the potentials

$$U(\mathit{\Phi}) = \lambda(|\mathit{\Phi}|^2 - \mu)^2, \tag{3.36}$$

$\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, or

$$U(\mathit{\Phi}) = \lambda|\mathit{\Phi}|^4 + \mu|\mathit{\Phi}|^2 \tag{3.37}$$

with $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|\mu| < c_0(\lambda), \tag{3.38}$$

would lead to positive energy levels, see Theorem 9.3 on page 39.

As we already mentioned in the Section 2 the energy $|D\mathit{\Phi}|^2$ as well as the potential U should be multiplied by appropriate powers of a correction term χ_0 which will ensure that these terms are equipped with the right powers of the scale factor, cf. [9, Lemma 3.1] for details.

It turns out that $|D\mathit{\Phi}|^2$ has to be multiplied by $\chi_0^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ and U by $\chi_0^{-\frac{2}{3}}$.

Let us summarize these results in:

3.2. **Lemma.** *Choosing a coordinate system such that the metric* $(\bar g_{\mu\lambda})$ *is expressed as in* (3.3)*, then the Higgs term* (3.17) *has the form*

$$L_{H_1} = \tfrac{1}{2} w^{-2} |\tfrac{D}{dt}\mathit{\Phi}|^2 e^{-2f} - U(\mathit{\Phi}) e^{-4f}. \tag{3.39}$$

The Lagrangian of the fermionic field is stated in (3.18). Here, $\psi = (\psi^i_a)$ is a multiplet of spinors with spin $\frac{1}{2}$; a is the spinor index, $1 \le a \le 4$, and i, $1 \le i \le n$, the *colour* index, where we use the convention expressed in (3.14), namely,

$$\psi = (0, 0, 0, \psi^i_a) \equiv (\psi^i_a). \tag{3.40}$$

We will also lower or raise the index i with the help of the Euclidean metric (δ_{ij}).

Let $\mathit{\Gamma}_\mu$ be the spinor connection

$$\mathit{\Gamma}_\mu = \tfrac{1}{4} \omega_\mu{}^b{}_a \gamma_b \gamma^a, \tag{3.41}$$

then the covariant derivative $D_\mu \psi$ is defined by

$$D_\mu \psi = \psi_{,\mu} + \mathit{\Gamma}_\mu \psi + g_1 A_\mu \psi. \tag{3.42}$$

In contrast to the previous consideration, when we looked at the Higgs term, we do not have to worry about which connection to take, the full connection A_μ or the effective connection $\hat A_\mu$. The Lagrangian will be the same in both cases this time.

Let (e^b_λ) be a 4-bein such that

$$\bar g_{\mu\lambda} = \eta_{ab} e^a_\mu e^b_\lambda, \tag{3.43}$$

where (η_{ab}) is the Minkowski metric, and let (E^μ_a) be its inverse such that

$$E^\mu_a = \eta_{ab}\bar g^{\mu\lambda} e^b_\lambda, \tag{3.44}$$

cf. [5, p. 246].

The covariant derivative of E^α_a with respect to $(\bar g_{\alpha\beta})$ is then given by

$$E^\alpha_{a;\mu} = E^\alpha_{a,\mu} + \bar\varGamma^\alpha_{\mu\beta} E^\beta_a \tag{3.45}$$

and

$$\omega_\mu{}^b{}_a = E^\lambda_{a;\mu} e^b_\lambda = -E^\lambda_a e^b_{\lambda;\mu}, \tag{3.46}$$

hence

$$\varGamma_\mu = \tfrac14 \omega_\mu{}^b{}_a \gamma_b\gamma^a = \tfrac14 E^\lambda_{a;\mu} e^b_\lambda \gamma_b\gamma^a = -\tfrac14 E^\lambda_a e^b_{\lambda;\mu}\gamma_b\gamma^a. \tag{3.47}$$

If we choose in (3.14) $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\sigma_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ we deduce

$$\varGamma_0 = 0 \tag{3.48}$$

and

$$\varGamma_i = \tfrac12 w^{-1} \dot f e^f \gamma_i\gamma^0, \qquad 1 \le i \le 3. \tag{3.49}$$

To simplify the presentation we will consider the connection $\hat A$ when calculating the covariant derivatives of ψ, since one can easily check that the final result will not be affected by this choice.

Thus we deduce

$$D_0\psi = \dot\psi + g_1 \hat A_0 \psi, \tag{3.50}$$

$$D_k\psi = \varGamma_k\psi = \tfrac12 w^{-1}\dot f e^f \gamma_k\gamma^0\psi, \tag{3.51}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde\psi_i E^\mu_a \gamma^a (D_\mu\psi)^i &= \bar\psi_i i\gamma^0\{E^0_\mu\gamma^a D_0\psi^i + E^k_a\gamma^a D_k\psi^i\} \\ &= i\bar\psi_i\gamma^0\{w^{-1}\gamma^0(\dot\psi^i + \varLambda^i_j\psi^j) \\ &\qquad + e^{-f}\gamma^k \tfrac12 w^{-1}\dot f e^f \gamma_k\gamma^0\psi^i\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

where we used

$$E^\mu_0 = w^{-1}\delta^\mu_0 \quad \wedge \quad E^\mu_k = e^{-f}\delta^\mu_k, \tag{3.53}$$

when $\sigma_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$.

In view of (2.2) on page 4 we have

$$\gamma^k\gamma_k = 3\,\mathrm{I} \quad \wedge \quad \gamma^0\gamma^0 = -\,\mathrm{I}, \tag{3.54}$$

hence the right-hand side of (3.52) is equal to

$$i\bar\psi_i\gamma^0\{w^{-1}\gamma^0(\dot\psi^i + \varLambda^i_j\psi^j) + \tfrac32 w^{-1}\dot f\gamma^0\psi^i\}, \tag{3.55}$$

and we deduce further, by setting

$$\chi = e^{\frac32 f}\psi, \tag{3.56}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\psi}_i E^\mu_a \gamma^a (D_\mu \psi)^i &= i\bar{\chi}_i \gamma^0 w^{-1} \gamma^0 \tfrac{D}{dt} \chi^i e^{-3f} \\ &= -i\bar{\chi}_i \tfrac{D}{dt} \chi^i w^{-1} e^{-3f},\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

where

$$\tfrac{D}{dt}\chi^i = \dot{\chi}^i + g_1 \varLambda^i_j \chi^j. \tag{3.58}$$

Summarizing the preceding results we obtain:

3.3. **Lemma.** *The Dirac Lagrangian can be expressed as*

$$L_{F_1} = \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\chi}_i \tfrac{D}{dt}\chi^i - \overline{\tfrac{D}{dt}\chi^i}\chi_i) w^{-1} e^{-3f} - mi\bar{\chi}_i \gamma^0 \chi^i e^{-4f} \tag{3.59}$$

in view of the definition of χ_0.

4. Quantization of the Lagrangian

We consider the functional

$$\begin{aligned} J = \alpha_M^{-1} &\int_\Omega (\bar{R} - 2\varLambda) + \int_\Omega \tfrac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}(F_{\mu\lambda}F^{\mu\lambda}) \\ &- \int_\Omega \{\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{g}^{\mu\lambda}\varPhi_\mu \varPhi_\lambda \chi_0^{-\frac{1}{3}} + U(\varPhi)\chi_0^{-\frac{2}{3}}\} \\ &+ \int_\Omega \{-\tfrac{1}{2}[\tilde{\psi}_i E^\mu_a \gamma^a (D_\mu\psi)^i + \overline{\tilde{\psi}_i E^\mu_a \gamma^a (D_\mu \psi)^i}] - m\bar{\psi}_i \psi^i \chi_0^{-\frac{1}{6}}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where α_M is a positive coupling constant, $\Omega \subset N$ is open such that

$$\Omega = I \times \tilde{\Omega}; \tag{4.2}$$

$I = (a, b)$ is a bounded interval and $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathbb{R}^3$ an arbitrary open set of measure one with respect to the standard metric of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

We use the action principle that, for an arbitrary Ω as above, a solution $(A, \varPhi, \psi, \bar{g})$ should be a stationary point of the functional with respect to compact variations. This principle requires no additional surface terms for the functional.

Using Lemma 3.1 on page 7, Lemma 3.2 on page 9, and Lemma 3.3 and arguing as in [10, section 3], where we observe that now $\tilde{\kappa} = 0$, we conclude that the functional is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} J = \alpha_M^{-1} &\int_a^b \{-6|\dot{f}|^2 e^{3f} w^{-1} - 2\varLambda e^{3f} w\} \\ &+ 3\int_a^b \{(2|\dot{\varphi}_0|^2 + |\tfrac{D}{dt} z|^2) w^{-1} e^f - (\varphi_0^4 + 8\varphi_0^2 |z|^2 + |z|^4) w e^{-f}\} \\ &+ \int_a^b \{\tfrac{1}{2} w^{-1} |\tfrac{D}{dt}\varPhi|^2 e^f - U w e^{-f}\} \\ &+ \int_a^b \{\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\chi}_i \tfrac{D}{dt}\chi^i - \overline{\tfrac{D}{dt}\chi^i}\chi_i) - mi\bar{\chi}_i \gamma^0 \chi^i w e^{-f}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Here a dot indicates differentiation with respect to the time $t = x^0$ and the covariant derivatives „$\frac{D}{dt}$“ of the variables $z, \varPhi, \chi$ are defined in (3.21), (3.23), (3.30) on page 8, and in (3.58) on page 11.

Thus, our functional depends on the variables $(f, \varphi_0, z^i, \varPhi^i, \chi^i, w, \varLambda^i_j)$. For the variables w and $\varLambda^i_j$ no time derivatives exist, i.e., the Legendre transformation will be singular resulting in corresponding constraints. In case of w we obtain the well-known Hamiltonian constraint, while in case of the $\varLambda^i_j$ the constraint equations are a bit more complicated. We shall address this issue later.

The dynamical variables are $(f, \varphi_0, z^i, \varPhi^i, \chi^i)$, where $z^i, \varPhi^i$ are complex and χ^i_a are anticommuting Grassmann variables. Therefore, we assume that the bosonic and fermionic variables are elements of a graded Grassmann algebra with involution, where the bosonic variables are *even* and the fermionic variables are *odd*. The involution corresponds to the complex conjugation and will be denoted by a bar.

The χ^i_a are complex variables and we define its real resp. imaginary parts as

$$\xi^i_a = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi^i_a + \bar\chi^i_a) \tag{4.4}$$

resp.

$$\eta^i_a = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}(\chi^i_a - \bar\chi^i_a). \tag{4.5}$$

Then,

$$\chi^i_a = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi^i_a + i\eta^i_a) \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\bar\chi^i_a = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi^i_a - i\eta^i_a). \tag{4.7}$$

In case of even variables we use the usual definitions

$$z^i = x^i + iy^i. \tag{4.8}$$

With these definitions we obtain

$$\frac{i}{2}(\bar\chi_i \tfrac{D}{dt}\chi^i - \overline{\tfrac{D}{dt}\chi^i}\chi_i) = \frac{i}{2}(\xi^a_i \tfrac{D}{dt}\xi^i_a + \eta^a_i \tfrac{D}{dt}\eta^i_a). \tag{4.9}$$

Casalbuoni quantized a Bose-Fermi system in [3, section 4] the results of which can be applied to spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions. The Lagrangian in [3] is the same as our Lagrangian in (4.9), and the left derivative is used in that paper, hence we are using left derivatives as well such that the conjugate momenta of the odd variables are, e.g.,

$$\pi^a_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \frac{D}{dt}\xi^i_a} = -\frac{i}{2}\xi^a_i, \tag{4.10}$$

and thus the conclusions in [3] can be applied.

The Lagrangian has been expressed in real variables—at least the important part of it—and it follows that the odd variables ξ^i_a, η^i_a satisfy, after introducing anticommutative Dirac brackets as in [3, equ. (4.11)],

$$\{\xi^i_a, \xi^j_b\}^*_+ = -i\delta^{ij}\delta_{ab}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\{\eta^i_a, \eta^j_b\}^*_+ = -i\delta^{ij}\delta_{ab}, \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\{\xi^i_a, \eta^j_b\}^*_+ = 0, \tag{4.13}$$

cf. [3, equ. (4.19)].

In view of (4.6), (4.7) we then derive

$$\{\chi^i_a, \bar\chi^j_b\}^*_+ = -i\delta^{ij}\delta_{ab}. \tag{4.14}$$

Canonical quantization—with $\bar h = 1$—then requires that the corresponding operators $\hat\chi^i_a, \hat{\bar\chi}^j_b$ satisfy the anticommutative rules

$$[\hat\chi^i_a, \hat{\bar\chi}^j_b]_+ = i\{\chi^i_a, \bar\chi^j_b\}^*_+ = \delta^{ij}\delta_{ab} \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$[\hat{\bar\chi}^i_a, \hat{\bar\chi}^j_b]_+ = [\hat\chi^i_a, \hat\chi^j_b]_+ = 0, \tag{4.16}$$

cf. [2, equ. (3.10)] and [3, equ. (4.17)].

We could then define a finite dimensional Hilbert space, using Berezin integration, where these operators would be acting, this is done e.g., in [14, p.1494], or we could observe, writing χ^k_b for $\hat\chi^k_b$, etc, that χ^k_b resp. $\bar\chi^j_c$ can be looked at as being annihilation resp. creation operators in the antisymmetric Fock space, cf. [4, chap. 65]; note that Dirac used the reversed symbols for the annihilation and creation operators.

We adopt the view to represent the operators as operators in the antisymmetric Fock space. Let η_0 be the vacuum vector, normalized to $\|\eta_0\| = 1$, then the vector space, where the operators are acting, is spanned by η_0 and by

$$\bar\chi^i_{a_1}\bar\chi^i_{a_2}\cdots\bar\chi^i_{a_s}\eta_0, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\bar\chi^{i_1}_a\bar\chi^{i_2}_a\cdots\bar\chi^{i_r}_a\eta_0, \tag{4.18}$$

and mixed products

$$\bar\chi^{i_1}_a\bar\chi^{i_2}_a\cdots\bar\chi^{i_r}_b\bar\chi^{i_r}_c\eta_0, \tag{4.19}$$

where all operators acting on η_0 have to be different otherwise the result will vanish. Hence, the vector space is a finite dimensional subspace of the antisymmetric Fock space.

Defining the number operator

$$n^i_a = \bar\chi^i_a\chi^i_a, \tag{4.20}$$

we deduce from (4.15)

$$\chi^i_a\bar\chi^i_a = I - n^i_a. \tag{4.21}$$

The vacuum vector η_0 belongs to the kernel of all n^i_a, hence we have

$$\chi^i_a\bar\chi^i_a\eta_0 = \eta_0. \tag{4.22}$$

χ^i_a and $\bar\chi^i_a$ are adjoints of each other, i.e., n^i_a is self-adjoint, and there holds

$$\chi^i_a\eta_0 = 0 \qquad \forall\,(a,i) \tag{4.23}$$

in view of

$$0 = n^i_a\eta_0 = \bar\chi^i_a\chi^i_a\eta_0. \tag{4.24}$$

Moreover, the vectors in (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19) are normalized eigenvectors of n^i_a with eigenvalues 1 resp. 0 depending on the fact if $\bar\chi^i_a$ happens to be acting on η_0 or not.

The fermionic Hamiltonian is equal to

$$H_{F_1} = mi\bar\chi_i\gamma^0\chi^i we^{-f}. \tag{4.25}$$

Using the definition of γ^0,

$$\gamma^0 = i\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{I}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.26}$$

we deduce

$$i\bar\chi_i\gamma^0\chi^i = -(\bar\chi^{\bar a}_i\chi^i_{\bar a} - \bar\chi^{\underline{a}}_i\chi^i_{\underline{a}}), \tag{4.27}$$

where

$$1 \le \bar a \le 2 \quad \wedge \quad 3 \le \underline{a} \le 4 \tag{4.28}$$

with similar definitions for $\bar b$, $\underline{b}$, etc.

Hence, we conclude

$$H_{F_1} = m(\bar\chi^{\underline{a}}_i\chi^i_{\underline{a}} - \bar\chi^{\bar a}_i\chi^i_{\bar a})we^{-f} \tag{4.29}$$

where of course the factor we^{-f} will be taken care of when we shall consider the full Hamiltonian and the Hamiltonian constraint resp. the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. Note that the sign of m is irrelevant for our considerations. However, for definiteness, we shall assume $m > 0$.

Let us now quantize the bosonic part. Without changing the notation we shall assume that the complex fields $\varPhi$, z have real valued components by doubling their dimensions, i.e., $\varPhi$ and ζ now have $2n$ real components

$$\varPhi = (\varPhi^i) \quad \wedge \quad z = (z^i), \quad 1 \le i \le 2n. \tag{4.30}$$

Before we apply the Legendre transformation, let us express the quadratic derivative terms with the help of a common metric.

For $0 \le A, B \le 4n+1$, define

$$(y^A) = (f, \varphi_0, z^i, \varPhi^i), \tag{4.31}$$

$$(G_{AB}) = \operatorname{diag}(-12\alpha_M^{-1}e^{2f}, 12, 6\,\mathrm{I}_{2n}, \mathrm{I}_{2n})e^f, \tag{4.32}$$

and

$$V = 3(\varphi_0^4 + 8\varphi_0^2|z|^2 + |z|^4). \tag{4.33}$$

Then J in (4.3) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_a^b w\{G_{AB}\tfrac{D}{dt}y^A\tfrac{D}{dt}y^B w^{-2} - 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{3f} - Ve^{-f} - Ue^{-f}\} \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \{\frac{i}{2}(\bar\chi_i^a\tfrac{D}{dt}\chi_a^i - \overline{\tfrac{D}{dt}\chi_a^i}\chi_i^a) - m(\bar\chi_i^{\underline{a}}\chi_{\underline{a}}^i - \bar\chi_i^{\bar a}\chi_{\bar a}^i)e^{-f}w\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Applying now the Legendre transformation we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde H &= \tilde H(w, y^A, p_A, \xi_a^i, \eta_a^i) = p_A\tfrac{D}{dt}y^A + \tfrac{D}{dt}\xi_a^i\pi_i^a + \tfrac{D}{dt}\eta_a^i\sigma_i^a - L \\ &= \{\tfrac12 G_{AB}\tfrac{D}{dt}y^A\tfrac{D}{dt}y^B w^{-2} + 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{3f} + Ve^{-f} + Ue^{-f}\}w \\ &\qquad + m(\bar\chi_i^{\underline{a}}\chi_{\underline{a}}^i - \bar\chi_i^{\bar a}\chi_{\bar a}^i)e^{-f}w \\ &= \{\tfrac12 G^{AB}p_Ap_B + 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{3f} + Ve^{-f} + Ue^{-f}\}w \\ &\qquad + m(\bar\chi_i^{\underline{a}}\chi_{\underline{a}}^i - \bar\chi_i^{\bar a}\chi_{\bar a}^i)e^{-f}w \\ &\equiv Hw, \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

and the Hamiltonian constraint requires

$$H(y^A, \chi_a^i, \bar\chi_a^i, p_A) = 0. \tag{4.36}$$

Canonical quantization stipulates that, in case of the bosonic variables, we replace the momenta p_A by

$$p_A = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}, \tag{4.37}$$

where $\hbar = 1$, and for the fermionic variables we consider $\bar\chi_a^i$ and χ_i^a as creation resp. annihilation operators in a 2^{4n} dimensional subspace $\mathcal{F}_1$ of the antisymmetric Fock space as described above .

Thus, the Hamilton operator is equal to

$$H = -\tfrac12\varDelta + (V+U)e^{-f} + 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{3f} + m(\bar\chi_i^{\underline{a}}\chi_{\underline{a}}^i - \bar\chi_i^{\bar a}\chi_{\bar a}^i)e^{-f}, \tag{4.38}$$

where the metric G_{AB} is a Lorentz metric, i.e., the bosonic part of H is hyperbolic.

Ignoring for the moment a crucial first-class constraint we haven't considered yet, which is due to the variables $\varLambda_j^i$, we have to find wave functions

$$\Psi = \Psi(y), \tag{4.39}$$

where

$$\Psi : \mathbb{R}^{4n+2} \to \mathcal{F}_1, \tag{4.40}$$

such that

$$H\Psi = 0; \tag{4.41}$$

moreover, we even have to find a spectral resolution of this problem.

We shall consider wave functions of the form

$$\Psi(y) = u(y) \otimes \eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{F}_1, \tag{4.42}$$

where u belongs to a suitable Hilbert space consisting of complex valued functions.

Let $\Psi = u \otimes \eta$ be a smooth functions, then

$$\Delta\Psi = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}(\sqrt{|G|}G^{AB}\Psi_B). \tag{4.43}$$

Now,

$$|G| = 864\alpha_M^{-1} e^{4(n+1)f}, \tag{4.44}$$

and hence

$$-\Delta\Psi = \tfrac{1}{12} e^{-2(n+1)f} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0}(e^{(2n-1)f} \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y^0}) - 2a^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_{\alpha\beta}e^{-f} - \tilde{\Delta}\Psi e^{-f}, \tag{4.45}$$

where $(a^{\alpha\beta})$ is a positive definite diagonal matrix

$$(a^{\alpha\beta}) = \operatorname{diag}(\tfrac{1}{24}, \tfrac{1}{12}\,\mathrm{I}_{2n}), \tag{4.46}$$

and the indices range from $1 \le \alpha, \beta \le 2n+1$, and $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the Laplacian with respect to the $2n$ variables $\varPhi^i$. $\Psi_{\alpha\beta}$ are ordinary partial derivatives of Ψ.

Thus, we deduce from (4.38) that the Wheeler-DeWitt equation looks like

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{1}{24} e^{-2(n+1)f} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0}(e^{(2n-1)f} \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y^0}) - a^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_{\alpha\beta}e^{-f} - \tilde{\Delta}\Psi e^{-f} \\ &\quad + (V+U)\Psi e^{-f} + 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{3f}\Psi + m(\bar{\chi}_i^{\underline{a}}\chi_{\underline{a}}^i - \bar{\chi}_i^{\bar{a}}\chi_{\bar{a}}^i)\Psi e^{-f} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

Multiplying this equation by e^f we have proved:

4.1. **Theorem.** *The Wheeler-DeWitt equation for the functional J in (4.3) has the form*

$$H_1\Psi + H_2\Psi + H_{F_1}\Psi - H_0\Psi = 0, \tag{4.48}$$

where

$$H_0\Psi = -\tfrac{1}{24} e^{-(2n+1)f} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0}(e^{(2n-1)f} \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y^0}) - 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{4f}\Psi, \tag{4.49}$$

$$H_1\Psi = -a^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_{a\beta} + V\Psi, \tag{4.50}$$

$$H_2\Psi = -\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta}\Psi + U\Psi, \tag{4.51}$$

and

$$H_{F_1}\Psi = m(\bar{\chi}_i^{\underline{a}}\chi_{\underline{a}}^i - \bar{\chi}_i^{\bar{a}}\chi_{\bar{a}}^i)\Psi. \tag{4.52}$$

We emphasize that y^0 and f denote the same real variable.

Before we can solve the Wheeler-DeWitt equation we still have to formulate and satisfy the first-class constraint resulting from the presence of the variables $\varLambda_j^i$. This will be done in the next section.

5. A FIRST-CLASS CONSTRAINT

The Lagrangian functional in the previous section contains as non-dynamical variables the $\varLambda^i_j$, besides the w, which has already been taken care of by the Hamiltonian constraint.

The requirement that the first variation of the functional with respect to compact variations of *all* variables should vanish leads to a set of constraint equations due to the presence of the $\varLambda^i_j$.

$(\varLambda^i_j)$ is an arbitrary antisymmetric matrix in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with trace zero if $n > 1$.

To compute the first variation of J with respect to the $\varLambda^i_j$, we look at the integral in (4.34) on page 15. Since we also have to differentiate the Dirac term it is best to rewrite the quadratic form

$$\tfrac{1}{2}G_{AB}\tfrac{D}{dt}y^A\tfrac{D}{dt}y^B w^{-1} \tag{5.1}$$

in the form

$$\tfrac{1}{2}G_{AB}\tfrac{D}{dt}y^A\overline{\tfrac{D}{dt}y^B}w^{-1}, \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$(y^A) = (f, \varphi_0, z^i, \zeta^i); \tag{5.3}$$

z^i, ζ^i are complex components and ζ^i symbolizes $\varPhi^i$.

The terms involved are

$$\tfrac{1}{2}G_{AB}\tfrac{D}{dt}y^A\overline{\tfrac{D}{dt}y^B}w^{-1} + \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\chi}_i\tfrac{D}{dt}\chi^i - \overline{\tfrac{D}{dt}\chi^i}\chi_i). \tag{5.4}$$

Let us first look at the bosonic term and because of the symmetry it suffices to consider the z^i.

The independent components of $(\varLambda^i_j)$ can be labelled as

$$\varLambda^k_m, \qquad 1 \le k < m \le n, \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$\varLambda^k_k, 1 \le k \le n-1, \tag{5.6}$$

if $n > 1$, no summation over k. Since $\operatorname{tr}(\varLambda^i_j) = 0$, we assume the first $(n-1)$ diagonal elements to be independent imaginary variables and

$$\varLambda^n_n = -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\varLambda^k_k. \tag{5.7}$$

Let us start with a component

$$\varLambda^k_m = a + ib \tag{5.8}$$

for $1 \le k < m \le n$.

By observing that

$$p_A = G_{AB}\tfrac{D}{dt}y^B w^{-1}, \tag{5.9}$$

we deduce that the terms in (5.4) involving the numbers a, b are

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\{p_k\bar{\varLambda}^k_m\bar{z}^m + p_m\bar{\varLambda}^m_k\bar{z}^k + \bar{p}_k\varLambda^k_m z^m + \bar{p}_m\varLambda^m_k z^k\}, \tag{5.10}$$

or equivalently,

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\{p_k(a-ib)\bar{z}^m - p_m(a+ib)\bar{z}^k + \bar{p}_k(a+ib)z^m - \bar{p}_m(a-ib)z^k\}. \tag{5.11}$$

Differentiating first with respect to $\frac{\partial}{\partial a}$ we obtain

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\{\bar{p}_k z^m - p_m\bar{z}^k\} + \tfrac{1}{2}\{-\bar{p}_m z^k + p_k\bar{z}^m\}, \tag{5.12}$$

and differentiating with respect to $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial b}$ yields

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\{\bar{p}_k z^m - p_m\bar{z}^k\} - \tfrac{1}{2}\{-\bar{p}_m z^k + p_k\bar{z}^m\}. \tag{5.13}$$

Differentiating the diagonal terms we obtain

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\{\bar{p}_k z^k - p_k\bar{z}^k\} - \tfrac{1}{2}\{\bar{p}_n z^n - p_n\bar{z}^n\} \tag{5.14}$$

for $1 \le k \le n-1$, and

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\{\bar{p}z - p\bar{z}\}\tfrac{4}{3} \tag{5.15}$$

in case $n = 1$.

Looking at the terms in (5.12) and (5.13) we see they represent the real resp. imaginary part of the complex term

$$\bar{p}_k z^m - p_m\bar{z}^k, \qquad 1 \le k < m \le n. \tag{5.16}$$

Note that the variables are still complex Grassmann variables and not yet operators.

When formulating the constraint equations, the terms in (5.12), (5.13) will be set to vanish. Hence, these equations are equivalent to the complex equations

$$\bar{p}_k z^m - p_m\bar{z}^k = 0, \qquad 1 \le k < m \le n, \tag{5.17}$$

as well as to their complex conjugates

$$p_k\bar{z}^m - \bar{p}_m z^k = 0, \qquad 1 \le k < m \le n. \tag{5.18}$$

5.1. **Remark.** After quantization the left-hand sides of the equations above will be linear operators in a space of complex valued test functions. It will turn out that the operator resulting from (5.18) will be the adjoint of the operator resulting from (5.17), what is already evident since the quantization process will turn complex conjugation into forming the adjoint.

Similar arguments apply when we differentiate the Dirac terms. The terms in (5.12) resp. (5.13) will then correspond to

$$ig_1\{\bar{\chi}^a_k\chi^m_a - \bar{\chi}^a_m\chi^k_a\} \tag{5.19}$$

resp.

$$ig_1\{\bar{\chi}^a_k\chi^m_a + \bar{\chi}^a_m\chi^k_a\}, \tag{5.20}$$

hence, the equivalent to (5.17) will be

$$2ig_1\bar{\chi}^a_k\chi^m_a, \tag{5.21}$$

and the equivalent of (5.18)

$$-2ig_1\bar{\chi}_a^m\chi_k^a. \tag{5.22}$$

The diagonal term has the form

$$ig_1\{\bar{\chi}_k^a\chi_a^k-\bar{\chi}_n^a\chi_a^n\},\qquad 1\le k<n, \tag{5.23}$$

where the summation convention is not used for the index k, but of course for the index a. In case $n=1$ we have

$$ig_1\bar{\chi}^a\chi_a. \tag{5.24}$$

Since we shall later, after quantization, when these terms have turned into operators, apply the operators to complex valued wave functions, we consider the complex expressions as the primary terms to determine the constraints.

The full constraint equations are

$$l_{k,m}+g_1\tilde{l}_{k,m}+g_1\hat{l}_{k,m}=0,\qquad 1\le k<m\le n, \tag{5.25}$$

or equivalently, their complex conjugates,

$$\bar{l}_{k,m}+g_1\bar{\tilde{l}}_{k,m}+g_1\bar{\hat{l}}_{k,m}=0,\qquad 1\le k<m\le n, \tag{5.26}$$

$$l_k+g_1\tilde{l}_k+g_1\hat{l}_k=0,\qquad 1\le k<n, \tag{5.27}$$

and

$$l_0+g_1\tilde{l}_0+g_1\hat{l}_0=0,\qquad n=1, \tag{5.28}$$

where $l_{k,m}$, l_k resp. l_0 represent the terms in (5.17), (5.14) resp. (5.15), $\hat{l}_{k,m}$, $\hat{l}_k$, resp. $\hat{l}_0$ are defined by the equations (5.21), (5.23) resp. (5.24), while

$$\tilde{l}_{k,m}=\{\bar{\pi}_k\zeta^m-\pi_m\bar{\zeta}^k\}, \tag{5.29}$$

$$\tilde{l}_k=\tfrac{1}{2}\{\bar{\pi}_k\zeta^k-\pi_k\bar{\zeta}^k\}-\tfrac{1}{2}\{\bar{\pi}_n\zeta^n-\pi_n\bar{\zeta}^n\}, \tag{5.30}$$

and

$$\tilde{l}_0=\tfrac{1}{2}\{\bar{\pi}\zeta-\pi\bar{\zeta}\}\tfrac{4}{3}. \tag{5.31}$$

The coupling constant g_1 appears because it entered into the definition of the covariant derivatives of $\varPhi$ and χ, but not in the case of z.

The constraint equations are first-class constraints, according to Dirac, after quantization they have to be satisfied by the wave functions.

The terms for the fermionic variables can already be looked at as operators in the antisymmetric Fock space. For the quantization of the bosonic terms, we only consider $l_{k,m}$, l_k and l_0. Writing

$$p_k=p_{x^k}+ip_{y^k} \tag{5.32}$$

and

$$\bar{p}_k=p_{x^k}-ip_{y^k} \tag{5.33}$$

and replacing p_k, $\bar{p}_k$ by the operators

$$p_k\quad\rightarrow\quad -i\{\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^k}+i\tfrac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\}, \tag{5.34}$$

$$\bar{p}_k \quad \rightarrow \quad -i\{\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^k} - i\tfrac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\} \tag{5.35}$$

we deduce from (5.17), (5.14), and (5.15), without changing the notation,

$$\begin{aligned} l_{k,m} = \bigl(y^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} - x^m\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\bigr) + \bigl(y^m\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} - x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^m}\bigr)\\ + i\Bigl\{\bigl(x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} - x^m\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\bigr) + \bigl(y^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^m} - y^m\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\bigr)\Bigr\}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

$$l_k = \bigl(x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} - y^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\bigr) - \bigl(x^n\frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} - y^n\frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}\bigr), \tag{5.37}$$

and

$$l_0 = \tfrac{4}{3}\bigl(x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\bigr) + \tfrac{8}{3}i. \tag{5.38}$$

When we use the formulation (5.18) instead of (5.17) the operator $l_{k,m}$ in (5.36) will be replaced by its formal adjoint

$$\begin{aligned} l^*_{k,m} = -\bigl(y^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} - x^m\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\bigr) - \bigl(y^m\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} - x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^m}\bigr)\\ + i\Bigl\{\bigl(x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} - x^m\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\bigr) + \bigl(y^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^m} - y^m\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\bigr)\Bigr\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

The differential operators $\tilde{l}_{k,m}$, etc., are similarly defined; we shall denote the corresponding variables by $\tilde{x}^i$ and $\tilde{y}^i$, $1 \le i \le n$.

To solve the Wheeler-DeWitt equation we have to define a Hilbert space generated by wave functions Ψ satisfying the constraint equations

$$(l_{k,m} + g_1\tilde{l}_{k,m} + g_1\hat{l}_{k,m})\Psi = 0, \tag{5.40}$$

or equivalently,

$$(l^*_{k,m} + g_1\tilde{l}^*_{k,m} + g_1\hat{l}^*_{k,m})\Psi = 0, \tag{5.41}$$

and

$$(l_k + g_1\tilde{l}_k + g_1\hat{l}_k)\Psi = 0. \tag{5.42}$$

In case $n = 1$,

$$(l_0 + g_1\tilde{l}_0 + g_1\hat{l}_0)\Psi = 0. \tag{5.43}$$

Later we shall define various Hilbert spaces and before defining a Hilbert space we shall deliberately decide which constraint formulation, either (5.25) or (5.26), we shall use at the classical level, where both formulations are equivalent, since it will make an important difference after quantization.

The Hilbert spaces will be tensor products, where, to address the constraint equations, it suffices to restrict our attention to wave functions of the form

$$\Psi = u(z, \tilde{z}) \otimes \eta, \tag{5.44}$$

where $(z, \tilde{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n} = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and η belongs to the antisymmetric Fock space. Occasionally, we also use the symbol ζ instead of $\tilde{z}$.

To solve the constraint equations, we consider each factor u and η separately.

η belongs to a finite dimensional subspace $\mathcal{F}_1$. Define the linear map

$$\lambda_0 = (\hat{l}_{k,m})_{1\le k<m\le n} : \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_1^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}. \tag{5.45}$$

Let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_0$ be the image of

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \ni \eta \to \eta \equiv (\eta,\dots,\eta) \in \mathcal{F}_1^{n-1}, \tag{5.46}$$

and $\varLambda_0$ be the map

$$\varLambda_0 = (\hat{l}_k)_{1\le k<n} : \hat{\mathcal{F}}_0 \to \mathcal{F}_1^{n-1}. \tag{5.47}$$

We then look for eigenspaces of $-i\varLambda_0$

$$\tilde{F}_\sigma = \{\, \eta \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_0 \colon -i\varLambda_0\eta = \sigma\eta \,\}, \tag{5.48}$$

where we identify η and $(\eta,\dots,\eta)$, i.e., we especially consider

$$\tilde{F}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{F}_1. \tag{5.49}$$

5.2. **Lemma.** *The eigenvalues σ of $-i\varLambda_0$ belong to the set*

$$M_4 = \{-4,-3,\dots,0,\dots,3,4\} \tag{5.50}$$

and each possible eigenvalue is assumed. The $\tilde{F}_\sigma$ are mutually orthogonal.

Proof. (i) The claim that the eigenvalues are elements of M_4 will be proved in Lemma 5.4.

(ii) In order to prove that every element of M_4 is indeed an eigenvalue we shall give a list of eigenvectors belonging to $\tilde{F}_\sigma$ for each $\sigma \in M_4$.

$$\bar{\chi}_1^n \cdots \bar{\chi}_4^n \eta_0 \in \tilde{F}_{-4}, \tag{5.51}$$

$$\bar{\chi}_1^n \bar{\chi}_2^n \bar{\chi}_3^n \eta_0 \in \tilde{F}_{-3}, \tag{5.52}$$

$$\bar{\chi}_1^n \bar{\chi}_2^n \eta_0 \in \tilde{F}_{-2}, \tag{5.53}$$

$$\bar{\chi}_b^n \eta_0 \in \tilde{F}_{-1}, \tag{5.54}$$

$$\eta_0 \in \tilde{F}_0. \tag{5.55}$$

For $1 \le b \le 4$ define

$$\eta_b = \bar{\chi}_1^1 \cdots \bar{\chi}_b^1 \cdots \bar{\chi}_1^{n-1} \cdots \bar{\chi}_b^{n-1} \eta_0, \tag{5.56}$$

then

$$\eta_b \in \tilde{F}_b. \tag{5.57}$$

Since the eigenvectors are especially eigenvectors of the self-adjoint operator $-i\hat{l}_1$, eigenvectors belonging to different eigenvalues are orthogonal. $\square$

5.3. **Lemma.** *Let* $\bar\chi^a_k$, χ^k_a, $1\le a\le m_1$, *where* k *is fixed, be creation resp. annihilation operators in the antisymmetric Fock space, then the eigenvalues of*

$$l_k=\bar\chi^a_k\chi^k_a, \tag{5.58}$$

where we use summation over a, *belong to the set*

$$M_1=\{0,1,\dots,m_1\}. \tag{5.59}$$

Proof. We use induction with respect to m_1. When $m_1=1$ this result is due to the fact that a number operator is a projector.

Thus assume that the claim has already been proved for $m_1<m$ with $m>1$ and set $m_1=m$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of l_k and η an eigenvector. Then we write η as

$$\eta=\eta_1+\eta_2, \tag{5.60}$$

where η_1 can be written in the form

$$\eta_1=\bar\chi^1_k\xi \tag{5.61}$$

and η_2 can be written as a linear combination of standard basis vectors which do not contain the creation operator $\bar\chi^1_k$. Hence, η_2 belongs to the kernel of $\bar\chi^1_k\chi^k_1$ and we deduce

$$\lambda\eta_1+\lambda\eta_2=l_k\eta=\eta_1+\sum_{a=2}^m\bar\chi^a_k\chi^k_a\eta. \tag{5.62}$$

Let $\bar\chi^1_k$ act on both sides of this equation then

$$\lambda\bar\chi^1_k\eta_2=\sum_{a=2}^m\bar\chi^a_k\chi^k_a\bar\chi^1_k\eta_2 \tag{5.63}$$

and we conclude either that $0\le\lambda\le m-1$ or that $\eta_2=0$.

Suppose $\eta_2=0$, then, in view of (5.62), we obtain

$$(\lambda-1)\eta_1=\sum_{a=2}^m\bar\chi^a_k\chi^k_a\eta_1 \tag{5.64}$$

yielding

$$0\le\lambda\le m \tag{5.65}$$

because of the induction hypothesis. ☐

5.4. **Lemma.** *Let* $\bar\chi^a_k$, χ^k_a, $\bar\chi^b_n$, χ^n_b, $1\le a\le m_1$, $1\le b\le m_2$, *where* k,n, $k\ne n$, *are fixed, be creation resp. annihilation operators in the antisymmetric Fock space, then the eigenvalues of*

$$l=\bar\chi^a_k\chi^k_a-\bar\chi^b_n\chi^n_b, \tag{5.66}$$

where we use summation over a *and* b, *belong to the set*

$$M_1=\{-m_2,-m_2+1,\dots,0,1,\dots,m_1\}. \tag{5.67}$$

Proof. We use induction with respect to m_2. Actually we only prove it for $m_2 = 1$ and refer for the further steps in the induction arguments to the proof of the preceding lemma. Thus, let $m_2 = 1$ and let λ be an eigenvalue of l with eigenvector η. Split η similarly as in (5.60)

$$\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2, \tag{5.68}$$

where now

$$\eta_1 = \bar{\chi}^1_n \xi. \tag{5.69}$$

Then, we infer

$$\lambda\eta_1 + \lambda\eta_2 = l\eta = l_k\eta - \eta_1, \tag{5.70}$$

and conclude further, as in the proof before,

$$l_k \bar{\chi}^1_n \eta_2 = \lambda \bar{\chi}^1_n \eta_2, \tag{5.71}$$

hence, we either have $0 \le \lambda \le m_1$, in view of Lemma 5.3, or $\eta_2 = 0$. The latter would imply, because of (5.70),

$$l_k \eta_1 = (\lambda + 1)\eta_1, \tag{5.72}$$

completing the proof of the lemma. □

5.5. **Definition.** Let $\tilde{F}_{\sigma_i}$ be one of the eigenspaces in Lemma 5.2, then we define in case $\sigma_i \ge 0$

$$F_{\sigma_i} = \{\, \eta \in \tilde{F}_{\sigma_i} : \hat{l}_{k,m}\eta = 0 \quad \forall\, 1 \le k < m \le n \,\} \tag{5.73}$$

and in case $\sigma_i < 0$

$$F_{\sigma_i} = \{\, \eta \in \tilde{F}_{\sigma_i} : \hat{l}^*_{k,m}\eta = 0 \quad \forall\, 1 \le k < m \le n \,\}. \tag{5.74}$$

5.6. **Remark.** The fermions defined in Lemma 5.2 which belong to $\tilde{F}_{\sigma_i}$ also belong to F_{σ_i}. Hence, we have

$$\dim F_{\sigma_i} \ge 1 \qquad \forall\, 1 \le i \le 9. \tag{5.75}$$

The eigenspace F_0, i.e., $\sigma_i = 0$, will be of special importance, since it contains the SU(3) fermions used in forming the quarks, when $n = 3$, as we shall prove:

5.7. **Lemma.** *Let $n \ge 2$, then the dimension of the eigenspace F_0 is at least 16. It contains the mutually orthogonal unit vectors*

$$\bar{\chi}^1_M \cdots \bar{\chi}^n_M \eta_0 \qquad \forall\, M \in \mathcal{P}(\{1,2,3,4\}), \tag{5.76}$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\{1,2,3,4\})$ is the power set of $\{1,2,3,4\}$, and the operators $\bar{\chi}^k_M$ are defined by

$$\bar{\chi}^k_M = \begin{cases} \mathrm{I}, & M = \emptyset, \\ \bar{\chi}^k_{a_1} \cdots \bar{\chi}^k_{a_i}, & M = \{a_1, \dots, a_i\}, \end{cases} \tag{5.77}$$

where, for definiteness, the factors in the product are ordered by the standard order of the natural numbers, i.e., in the definition above, we assume

$$a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_i. \tag{5.78}$$

Proof. Easy exercise. □

Next, we fix an eigenvalue σ_i with corresponding eigenspace F_{σ_i}, where we emphasize the convention (5.49), and we want to define a matching bosonic Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\sigma_i)$ such that

$$l_q u = 0 \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{l}_q u = -i\sigma_i u \qquad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma_i), \tag{5.79}$$

and $1 \le q < n$, and such that

$$l_{k,m} u = 0 \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{l}_{k,m} u = 0 \qquad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma_i), \tag{5.80}$$

for all $1 \le k < m \le n$, if $\sigma_i \ge 0$, and

$$l^*_{k,m} u = 0 \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{l}^*_{k,m} u = 0 \qquad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma_i), \tag{5.81}$$

for all $1 \le k < m \le n$, if $\sigma_i < 0$.

5.8. **Remark.** The Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}(\sigma_i) \otimes F_{\sigma_i} \tag{5.82}$$

would then be mutually orthogonal and its elements would satisfy the constraints.

We shall show that this procedure is always possible; we formulate and prove the result for generic differential operators $l_{k,m}, l_k$, resp. for $l^*_{k,m}$, l_k, and for $n \ge 2$—the case $n = 1$ will be dealt with in Section 8.

5.9. **Theorem.** *For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a largest infinite dimensional subspace*

$$E \subset C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{C}) \tag{5.83}$$

such that all $u \in E$ satisfy

$$l_{k,m} u = 0 \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < m \le n \tag{5.84}$$

and

$$l_k u = -iru \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < n. \tag{5.85}$$

Moreover, let $V(z) = V_0(|z|^2)$ be a smooth potential, $V_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then E is invariant with respect to the operators

$$u \to Vu \tag{5.86}$$

and

$$u \to \Delta u. \tag{5.87}$$

Proof. We first prove that there exists an infinite dimensional subspace with the above properties. For any $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the function

$$\varphi = \rho(|z|^2) \tag{5.88}$$

satisfies

$$l_{k,m}\varphi = 0 \quad \wedge \quad l_k\varphi = 0. \tag{5.89}$$

Let

$$u_n = x^n + iy^n, \tag{5.90}$$

then

$$l_k u_n = -iu_n \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < n \tag{5.91}$$

and

$$l_{k,m}u_n = 0 \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < m \le n. \tag{5.92}$$

Since $l_k, l_{k,m}$ are linear differential operators of first order we infer that

$$u = u_n^r \tag{5.93}$$

satisfies

$$l_k u = -iru \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < n. \tag{5.94}$$

Let $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be arbitrary and define

$$v = u\varphi, \qquad \varphi = \rho(|z|^2), \tag{5.95}$$

then v is smooth and

$$l_k v = -irv \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < n, \tag{5.96}$$

as well as

$$l_{k,m}v = 0. \tag{5.97}$$

Since the support of ρ is arbitrary, the functions v in (5.95) generate an infinite dimensional subspace $\tilde{E} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{C})$.

Obviously, $\tilde{E}$ is invariant with respect to the operator in (5.86). It remains to prove the invariance with respect to the Laplace operator.

An immediately calculation reveals

$$\Delta u_n^r = 0, \tag{5.98}$$

$$\Delta\varphi = 4n\dot{\rho} + 4\ddot{\rho}|z|^2, \tag{5.99}$$

$$D_i u_n^r D^i\varphi = 2ru_n^r\dot{\rho}, \tag{5.100}$$

and

$$\Delta(u_n^r\varphi) = (4n\dot{\rho} + 4\ddot{\rho}|z|^2)u_n^r + 4ru_n^r\varphi. \tag{5.101}$$

Thus, $\tilde{E} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{C})$ is infinite dimensional and invariant for V and Δ, and its elements satisfy the constraint equations. To define a largest subspace with these properties, we consider the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\, F \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{C})\colon F \text{ subspace with the above properties.}\,\} \tag{5.102}$$

$\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ and the space generated by

$$E = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F \tag{5.103}$$

is the largest subspace with these properties as one easily checks, and hence E is the largest subspace. ☐

5.10. **Theorem.** *For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a largest infinite dimensional subspace*

$$E \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{C}) \tag{5.104}$$

such that all $u \in E$ satisfy

$$l^*_{k,m} u = 0 \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < m \le n \tag{5.105}$$

and

$$l_k u = iru \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < n. \tag{5.106}$$

Moreover, let $V(z) = V_0(|z|^2)$ be a smooth potential, $V_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then E is invariant with respect to the operators

$$u \to Vu \tag{5.107}$$

and

$$u \to \Delta u. \tag{5.108}$$

Proof. In view of the proof of the preceding theorem it suffices to show that

$$u_n = x^n - iy^n \tag{5.109}$$

satisfies

$$l_k u_n = i u_n \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < n \tag{5.110}$$

and

$$l^*_{k,m} u_n = 0 \qquad \forall\, 1 \le k < m \le n, \tag{5.111}$$

but these equations follow immediately. ☐

5.11. **Remark.** In the preceding two theorems the elements of E are eigenfunctions of l_k with integer eigenvalues, which will suffice for our purposes, since the corresponding eigenvectors of the fermionic operators $\hat{l}_k$ will also have integer eigenvalues. But even in a situation when the possible eigenvalues of the $\hat{l}_k$ would be multiples of a given positive number λ we could define a matching bosonic Hilbert space by modifying the definition of the covariant differentiation of the Higgs field. Instead of the definition (3.27) on page 8 we would then define

$$\varPhi_\mu = \varPhi_{,\mu} + \lambda g_1 \hat{A}_\mu \varPhi, \tag{5.112}$$

5.12. **Remark.** If the potential V depends on additional variables $\xi = (\xi^i)$, $1 \le i \le m$,

$$V = V_0(|z|^2, \xi), \tag{5.113}$$

which do not enter into the constraint equations, then a largest subspace can be constructed by choosing the test functions φ in (5.88) to be of the form

$$\varphi = \rho(|z|^2, \xi), \tag{5.114}$$

with

$$\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}). \tag{5.115}$$

The resulting largest subspace would be part of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C})$ and invariant with respect to V as well as with respect to the Laplacians $\varDelta_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ and $\varDelta_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ or any smooth partial differential operator in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C})$.

6. The electro-weak interaction

The gauge group of the electro-weak interaction is SU(2) × U(1). To implement the U(1) action we have to use the SU$(n+3)$ model with $n = 1$. As noted in Section 3 the SU$(1+3)$ gauge field contains a general $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ connection.

For the realization of SU(2) we could either use the same method, i.e., looking at the SU$(n+3)$ model with $n = 2$, or use the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ Lie subalgebra which is part of the SU$(1+3)$ model as an embedding of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ in $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, or we could simply use the fact that $SU(2)$ is the simply connected twofold cover of SO(3) and employ the corresponding gauge field which is known to be symmetric with respect to rigid motions of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

The $SU(2+3)$ model has the disadvantage of the additional constraint equations, so this model should be avoided when possible. The remaining two possibilities are very similar. We shall choose the independent $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ realization of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, which has already been used to define quantum cosmological models, cf. [9, 11].

Let us briefly describe how $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ can be looked at as the Lie algebra of Ad(SU(2)).

Consider the standard generators T_i, $1 \le i \le 3$, of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ viewed as antisymmetric homomorphisms in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$[T_i, T_j] = \epsilon_{ij}^k T_k. \tag{6.1}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$, then a basis of $i\mathfrak{g}$ is given by the Pauli matrices σ_i, $1 \le i \le 3$, satisfying

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ij}^k \sigma_k. \tag{6.2}$$

Now, the classical adjoint representation of SU(2) as homomorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ gives just SO(3) and

$$\operatorname{Ad}_*(\tfrac{1}{2i}\sigma_k) = T_k, \tag{6.3}$$

see e.g., [7, Theorem 19.12] and also [6, equ. (1.12)].

Note that Ad_*^{-1} is two-valued. Thus, let

$$\tilde{A} = \tilde{\varphi} T_a \omega^a_i dx^i \tag{6.4}$$

be an SO(3) connection, then it can be looked at as the adjoint connection of the $SU(2)$ connection

$$B = \tilde{\varphi}\tfrac{1}{2i}\sigma_k \omega^k_i dx^i, \tag{6.5}$$

where ω^a is the form in (3.5) on page 6 for $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathbb{R}^3$.

These connections can be extended to the spacetime by setting

$$\tilde{A}_0 = B_0 = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

The additional Lagrangian terms which have to be considered in the functional in (4.1) on page 11 are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \{\tfrac14 \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{F}_{\mu\lambda}\tilde{F}^{\mu\lambda}) - \tfrac12 \bar{\mu}\gamma_{ab}\bar{g}^{\mu\lambda}A^a_\mu A^b_\lambda \chi_0^{-\frac13} + \tfrac14 \operatorname{tr}(\hat{F}_{\mu\lambda}\hat{F}^{\mu\lambda})\\
&-\tfrac12[\tilde{L}_i E^\mu_a \gamma^a D_\mu L^i + \tilde{e}_R E^\mu_a \gamma^a D_\mu e_R + \overline{\tilde{L}_i E^\mu_a \gamma^a D_\mu L^i + \tilde{e}_R E^\mu_a \gamma^a D_\mu e_R}]\\
&-\tfrac12 \bar{g}^{\mu\lambda} D_\mu\varphi\overline{D_\lambda\varphi}\chi_0^{-\frac13} - h_e(\bar{\varphi}_i\bar{e}_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha} + \varphi_i\bar{L}^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha})\chi_0^{-\frac16} - \hat{U}(\varphi)\chi_0^{-\frac23}\},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

where

$$\hat{U}(\varphi) = -m_1^2|\varphi|^2 + b_0|\varphi|^4, \quad b_0 > 0. \tag{6.8}$$

$(\tilde{F}_{\mu\lambda})$ is the field strength of the SU(2) adjoint connection $(\tilde{A}_\mu)$, which we write in the form

$$\tilde{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \bar{A}_\mu, \tag{6.9}$$

where $\bar{A}_\mu$ is the flat connection, hence $A_\mu = (A^a_\mu)$ is a tensor; γ_{ab} is the Cartan-Killing tensor of the Lie algebra. The corresponding term in the functional represents the mass of the connection: $\bar{\mu}$ is called the mass of the connection $\tilde{A}_\mu$, cf. [9, p. 2].

$(\hat{F}_{\mu\lambda})$ is the field strength of the SU(1 + 3) connection. We now denote the connection by C instead of A and consequently $\hat{C}$ will be the effective U(1) connection.

With respect to the Dirac terms, the Higgs field and the Yukawa terms we roughly follow the definitions and notations in [6, p. 201], see also [15].

From [9, equ. (3.15)] we obtain

$$\begin{gathered}
\tfrac14 \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{F}_{\mu\lambda}\tilde{F}^{\mu\lambda}) - \tfrac12\bar{\mu}\gamma_{ab}\bar{g}^{\mu\lambda}A^a_\mu A^b_\lambda \bar{g}^{\mu\lambda}\chi_0^{-\frac13} =\\
3\dot{\tilde{\varphi}} w^{-2}e^{-2f} - 3\tilde{\varphi}^4 e^{-4f} - 3\bar{\mu}\tilde{\varphi}^2 e^{-4f},
\end{gathered} \tag{6.10}$$

where we have to set $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$, $\tilde{\kappa} = 0$ and $\bar{\mu} = -\mu$, when comparing the reference with the present situation.

The value of

$$\tfrac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}(\hat{F}_{\mu\lambda}\hat{F}^{\mu\lambda}) \tag{6.11}$$

we infer from (3.20) and (3.23) on page 7, noting that now $n = 1$.

Before we inspect the Higgs field $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2)$, let us look at the Dirac term.

Now, we use a different spinor basis such that

$$\gamma^0 = i\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.12}$$

and the helicity operator γ^5 is represented as

$$\gamma^5 = -\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = i\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{I} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.13}$$

i.e., writing a spinor ψ in the form

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.14}$$

then $\chi = (\chi_\alpha)$, $1 \le \alpha \le 2$, is left-handed and $\eta = (\eta_\beta)$, $1 \le \beta \le 2$, is right-handed.

The Dirac terms in (6.7) have to be understood as inserting

$$L^i \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} L^i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \le i \le 2, \tag{6.15}$$

and

$$e_R \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_R \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.16}$$

where L^i and e_R are Weyl spinors

$$L^i = (L^i_\alpha) \quad \wedge \quad e_R = (e_{R\beta}). \tag{6.17}$$

The covariant derivatives of L^i resp. e_R are defined by

$$D_\mu L^i = L^i_{,\mu} + \mathit{\Gamma}_\mu L^i + g_2 B_\mu L^i + \tfrac{1}{2} g_3 \hat{C}_\mu L^i \tag{6.18}$$

and

$$D_\mu e_R = e_{R,\mu} + \mathit{\Gamma}_\mu e_r + g_3 \hat{C}_\mu e_R, \tag{6.19}$$

where g_2, g_3 are positive coupling constants. Note, that, whenever L^i or e_R are acted upon by the Dirac matrices γ^a, then they have to be expressed in the form (6.15) resp. (6.16), while, when acted upon by the Pauli matrices, they are simply Weyl spinors.

The terms

$$\hat{C}_\mu L^i \quad \wedge \quad \hat{C}_\mu e_R \tag{6.20}$$

are defined by using the convention in (3.13) on page 6 as well as the remarks following (3.27) on page 8, hence

$$\hat{C}_k = 0, \qquad 1 \le k \le 3, \tag{6.21}$$

and

$$\hat{C}_0 L^i = i\vartheta L^i, \qquad \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.22}$$

Let us write (6.18) explicitly in terms of

$$\begin{pmatrix} L^i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \wedge \quad L^i, \tag{6.23}$$

$$D_\mu \begin{pmatrix} L^i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L^i_{,\mu} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varGamma_\mu \begin{pmatrix} L^i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + g_2 \begin{pmatrix} B_\mu L^i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \tfrac{g_3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i\vartheta L^i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.24}$$

and similarly for e_R.

Applying the definitions of γ^0, γ^k we then deduce, by replacing at the end of the computation

$$L^i \quad \rightarrow \quad L^i e^{\frac{3}{2}f} \tag{6.25}$$

and

$$e_R \quad \rightarrow \quad e_R e^{\frac{3}{2}f} \tag{6.26}$$

without changing the notation,

$$\tilde{L}^i E^\mu_a \gamma^a D_\mu L_i = -i\bar{L}^\alpha_i \tfrac{D}{dt} L^i_\alpha w^{-1} e^{-3f} + \tfrac{3}{2} g_2 \tilde{\varphi} \bar{L}^\alpha_i L^i_\alpha e^{-4f} \tag{6.27}$$

and

$$\tilde{e}_R E^\mu_a \gamma^a D_\mu e_R = -i\bar{e}^R_\alpha \tfrac{D}{dt} e_{R\alpha} w^{-1} e^{-3f}, \tag{6.28}$$

where

$$\tfrac{D}{dt} L^i_\alpha = L^i_{\alpha,t} + \tfrac{g_3}{2} i\vartheta L^i_\alpha \tag{6.29}$$

and

$$\tfrac{D}{dt} e_{R\alpha} = \dot{e}_{R\alpha} + g_3 i\vartheta e_{R\alpha}. \tag{6.30}$$

Let us now consider the Higgs field $\varphi = (\varphi^i(t))$, $1 \le i \le 2$. Its covariant derivative is defined by

$$D_\mu \varphi = \varphi_{,\mu} + g_2 B_\mu \varphi + \tfrac{g_3}{2} \hat{C}_\mu \varphi, \tag{6.31}$$

hence

$$D_0 \varphi = \dot{\varphi} + \tfrac{g_3}{2} i\vartheta \varphi, \tag{6.32}$$

$$D_k \varphi = -i\tfrac{g_2}{2} \tilde{\varphi} \sigma_k \varphi, \tag{6.33}$$

and

$$-\tfrac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\mu\lambda} D_\mu \varphi \overline{D_\lambda \varphi} = \tfrac{1}{2} w^{-2} \tfrac{D}{dt} \varphi \overline{\tfrac{D}{dt} \varphi} - \tfrac{3}{2} g_2^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 |\varphi|^2 e^{-2f}. \tag{6.34}$$

Writing the complex functions φ^i as

$$\varphi^i = a^i + ib^i, \tag{6.35}$$

we infer

$$\bar\varphi_i\bar e_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha}+\varphi_i\bar L^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha}=-a_i(\bar e_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha}+\bar L^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha})\\ -b_i(i\bar L^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha}-i\bar e_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha}),\tag{6.36}$$

hence, after quantization, it will be a self-adjoint operator in the finite dimensional Hilbert space generated by the fermions. However, the operator will depend on the spatial variables a_i, b_i, which will turn out to have very important consequences.

Note that a similar term appears on the right-hand side of (6.27), i.e., even without the Yukawa term there would be a self-adjoint operator in the antisymmetric Fock space depending on the spatial variables—for the consequences we refer to Remark 11.5 on page 52.

The constants g_2, g_3, b_0 and h_e are assumed to be positive, while m_1 may be real or imaginary. Note that the sign of h_e is irrelevant.

7. Quantization of the full Lagrangian

Adding the terms in (6.7) to the functional J in (4.1) on page 11 and following the procedures in Section 4 we arrive at an analogue of equation (4.34) on page 15 which reads

$$\begin{aligned}J=\int_a^b w\{&G_{AB}\tfrac{D}{dt}y^A\tfrac{D}{dt}y^Bw^{-2}-2\alpha_M^{-1}\Lambda e^{3f}-Ve^{-f}-Ue^{-f}\\ &-(3\tilde\varphi^4+3\bar\mu\tilde\varphi^2+\tfrac32g_2^2\tilde\varphi^2|\varphi|^2+\hat V+\hat U+\tfrac32g_2\tilde\varphi\bar L_i^\alpha L_\alpha^i)e^{-f}\}\\ &+\int_a^b\{\frac{i}{2}(\bar\chi_i^a\tfrac{D}{dt}\chi_a^i+\bar L_i^\alpha\tfrac{D}{dt}L_\alpha^i+\bar e_R^\alpha\tfrac{D}{dt}e_{R\alpha})+\text{c.c.}\\ &-m(\bar\chi_i^{\underline{a}}\chi_{\underline{a}}^i-\bar\chi_i^{\bar a}\bar\chi_{\bar a}^i)e^{-f}w-h_e\big(a_i(\bar e_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha}+\bar L^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha})\\ &\qquad+b_i(-i\bar e_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha}+i\bar L^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha})\big)e^{-f}w\},\end{aligned}\tag{7.1}$$

where

$$\hat V=\hat\varphi_0^4+8\hat\varphi_0^2|\hat z|^2+|\hat z|^4,\tag{7.2}$$

$\hat z\in\mathbb{C}$, is the potential coming from the energy of the connection C_μ, and where

$$G_{AB}\tfrac{D}{dt}y^A\tfrac{D}{dt}y^B\tag{7.3}$$

has now been modified to incorporate the new variables. Note also that the covariant derivative „$\frac{D}{dt}$“ is defined differently depending on the variables it is applied to.

The variable $y=(y^A)$ is now defined by

$$(y^A)=(f,\underbrace{\varphi_0,z^i,\Phi^i}_{\text{SU}(n)},\underbrace{\tilde\varphi,\hat\varphi_0,\hat z,\varphi^i}_{\text{SU}(2)\times\text{U}(1)}).\tag{7.4}$$

The additional variables are the real variables $\tilde\varphi$, $\hat\varphi_0$, the complex variable $\hat z$, and

$$\varphi = (\varphi^i) \in \mathbb{C}^2. \tag{7.5}$$

Let us summarize the definitions of the covariant derivatives for the additional variables

$$\tfrac{D}{dt}\hat z = \hat z_{,t} + \tfrac{4}{3} i\vartheta \hat z, \tag{7.6}$$

cf. (3.23) on page 7,

$$\tfrac{D}{dt}\varphi = \dot\varphi + \tfrac{g_3}{2} i\vartheta\varphi, \tag{7.7}$$

$$\tfrac{D}{dt}L^i_\alpha = L^i_{\alpha,t} + \tfrac{g_3}{2} i\vartheta L^i_\alpha, \tag{7.8}$$

and

$$\tfrac{D}{dt}e_{R\alpha} = \dot e_{R\alpha} + g_3 i\vartheta e_{R\alpha}. \tag{7.9}$$

The metric (G_{AB}) is the diagonal Lorentz metric

$$(G_{AB}) = \operatorname{diag}(-\alpha_M^{-1} 12 e^{2f}, 12, 6\,\mathrm{I}_{2n}, \mathrm{I}_{2n}, 6, 12, 6\,\mathrm{I}_2, \mathrm{I}_4)e^f. \tag{7.10}$$

Canonical quantization then leads to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation

$$H\Psi = 0, \tag{7.11}$$

where the Hamilton operator H is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} e^f H = {} & -\tfrac12 e^f \varDelta + 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{4f} + V + U + \hat V + \hat U \\ & + (3\tilde\varphi^4 + 3\bar\mu\tilde\varphi^2 + \tfrac32 g_2^2\tilde\varphi^2|\varphi|^2 + \tfrac32 g_2\tilde\varphi \bar L^\alpha_i L^i_\alpha) \\ & + m(\bar\chi_i^{\underline{a}}\chi^i_{\underline{a}} - \bar\chi_i^{\bar a}\chi^i_{\bar a}) + h_e\big(a_i(\bar e_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha} + \bar L^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha}) \\ & \qquad\qquad + b_i(-i\bar e_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha} + i\bar L^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha})\big), \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

and the Laplace operator with respect to the metric (G_{AB}) can be expressed as

$$-e^f\varDelta\Psi = \frac{\alpha_M}{12}e^{-(2n+5)f}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^0}\Big(e^{(2n+3)f}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y^0}\Big) - 2a^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{7.13}$$

where

$$(a^{\alpha\beta}) = \operatorname{diag}(\tfrac{1}{24}, \tfrac{1}{12}\,\mathrm{I}_{2n}, \tfrac12\,\mathrm{I}_{2n}, \tfrac{1}{12}, \tfrac{1}{24}, \tfrac{1}{12}\,\mathrm{I}_2, \tfrac12\,\mathrm{I}_4). \tag{7.14}$$

Replacing $e^f H$ by H without changing the notation, we then have

$$H = H_1 - H_0, \tag{7.15}$$

where

$$H_0\Psi = -\frac{\alpha_M}{24}e^{-(2n+5)f}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^0}\Big(e^{(2n+3)f}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y^0}\Big) - 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{4f}\Psi \tag{7.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&H_1\Psi = \\ &- a^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_{\alpha\beta} + (V + U + \hat{V} + \hat{U})\Psi + (3\tilde{\varphi}^4 + 3\bar{\mu}\tilde{\varphi}^2 + \tfrac{3}{2}g_2^2\tilde{\varphi}^2|\varphi|^2)\Psi \\ &+ m(\bar{\chi}_i^{\underline{a}}\chi_{\underline{a}}^i - \bar{\chi}_i^{\bar{a}}\chi_{\bar{a}}^i)\Psi + \tfrac{3}{2}g_2\tilde{\varphi}\bar{L}_i^{\alpha}L_{\alpha}^i\Psi \\ &+ h_e\big(a_i(\bar{e}_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha} + \bar{L}^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha}) + b_i(-i\bar{e}_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha} + i\bar{L}^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha})\big)\Psi.\end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

Note that the symbols $f, \varphi_0, z^i, \varPhi^i, \tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}_0, \hat{z}^i, \varphi^i$ now are variables of the Euclidean space

$$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{4n+9}, \tag{7.18}$$

where f corresponds to the first factor. The complex variables have been expressed by their real and imaginary parts respectively, e.g.,

$$\varphi_k = a_k + ib_k. \tag{7.19}$$

The terms in the last two rows of the right-hand side of (7.17) represent a symmetric operator in the finite dimensional Hilbert space generated by the fermions which also depends on the spatial variables a_k, b_k and $\tilde{\varphi}$.

Let us write this operator in the form

$$B + C, \tag{7.20}$$

where B acts on the fermions from the SU(n) model and C on those from the SU(2) × U(1) model, and let us abbreviate the rest of the right-hand side by A such that

$$H_1 = A + B + C. \tag{7.21}$$

In the next section we shall define the Hilbert space in which H_1 acts as a symmetric operator.

8. The vector space defined by the constraints of the electro-weak interaction

The functional in (7.1) on page 31 contains ϑ as a non-dynamical variable, hence an additional constraint equation has to be satisfied. The equations (7.6)–(7.9) on page 32 reveal how ϑ enters into the Lagrangian.

Writing $\hat{z}$ resp. φ^i in the form

$$\hat{z} = \hat{x} + i\hat{y} \tag{8.1}$$

resp.

$$\varphi^i = \xi^i + i\eta^i \tag{8.2}$$

for $1 \le i \le 2$, we deduce from (5.38) on page 20 that the differential operator—we now use the notations $\lambda_0, \tilde{\lambda}_0$ and $\hat{\lambda}_0$—has the form

$$\lambda_0 = \tfrac{4}{3}(\hat{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{y}} - \hat{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}}) + i\tfrac{8}{3}, \tag{8.3}$$

and a variant of (5.38) is also valid for φ^i, namely,

$$g_3\tilde{\lambda}_0 = g_3\tfrac{1}{2}(\xi^i\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta^i} - \eta^i\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi^i}) + ig_3, \tag{8.4}$$

where, however, we now have to sum over i. The different coefficients are due to the different definitions of the covariant derivative, cf. (7.7) on page 32 and also Remark 5.11 on page 26—but note that we used the standard definitions.

Finally, when differentiating the Dirac terms with respect to $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta}$ we obtain

$$g_3\hat{\lambda}_0 \equiv ig_3\hat{\varLambda}_0 = ig_3\{\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{L}_i^\alpha L_\alpha^i + \bar{e}_R^\alpha e_{R\alpha}\}, \tag{8.5}$$

where the summation convention is in place for all indices.

Hence the constraint equation is

$$(\lambda_0 + g_3\tilde{\lambda}_0 + g_3\hat{\lambda}_0)\Psi = 0. \tag{8.6}$$

To solve this equation we first determine the eigenspaces of $\hat{\lambda}_0$, or equivalently, of $\hat{\varLambda}_0$, which is a self-adjoint operator in the 2^6 dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_2$ spanned by the electro-weak fermions. It has 9 eigenvalues

$$0, \tfrac{1}{2}, \dots, \tfrac{7}{2}, 4 \tag{8.7}$$

which are all multiples of $\frac{1}{2}$. This claim can be proved by arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 on page 22.

Denote by ρ_a, $1 \le a \le 9$, these eigenvalues and by

$$F_{\rho_a} \tag{8.8}$$

the corresponding eigenspaces, then

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{9} F_{\rho_a}. \tag{8.9}$$

Let F_{ρ_a} be arbitrary. We shall use the operator $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ to define a matching function space.

8.1. **Theorem.** *For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a largest infinite dimensional vector space*

$$E \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C}) \tag{8.10}$$

such that all $u \in E$ satisfy

$$\tilde{\lambda}_0 u = -i\tfrac{r}{2}u, \tag{8.11}$$

and such that E will be invariant with respect to the operators $\varDelta_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ and

$$u \to Vu, \tag{8.12}$$

where the potential V is of the form

$$V = V_0(|z|^2). \tag{8.13}$$

The claims in Remark 5.12 on page 27 are also valid.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.9 on page 24 resp. Theorem 5.10 on page 26. First, let $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then the functions

$$\varphi = \rho(|\zeta|^2), \tag{8.14}$$

where $\zeta^i = \xi^i + i\eta^i$, $1 \le i \le 2$, satisfy

$$\tilde{\lambda}_0 \varphi = 0. \tag{8.15}$$

Second, let

$$u_k = \xi^k - i\eta^k \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{u}_k = \xi^k + i\eta^k, \tag{8.16}$$

$1 \le k \le 2$ fixed, then

$$\tilde{\lambda}_0 u_k = -i\tfrac{1}{2}u_k + iu_k \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{\lambda}_0 \tilde{u}_k = i\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{u}_k + i\tilde{u}_k \tag{8.17}$$

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$u = u_k^r \rho(|\zeta|^2) \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_k^r \rho(|\zeta|^2) \tag{8.18}$$

where $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is arbitrary, then

$$\tilde{\lambda}_0 u = -i\tfrac{r}{2}u + iu \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{\lambda}_0 \tilde{u} = i\tfrac{r}{2}\tilde{u} + i\tilde{u} \tag{8.19}$$

and these functions, u resp. $\tilde{u}$, generate an infinite dimensional subspace.

The invariance properties of the subspace can be proved as in the case of Theorem 5.9, and the arguments at the end of the proof of that theorem yield the existence of a largest subspace with these properties. □

Next we have to define a function space E_0 such that

$$\lambda_0 v = 0 \qquad \forall\, v \in E_0. \tag{8.20}$$

This can be achieved with the help of Theorem 5.10 on page 26. Let $E_0 \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ be such that

$$(\hat{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{y}} - \hat{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}})v = -2iv \qquad \forall\, v \in E_0, \tag{8.21}$$

then

$$\lambda_0 v = 0 \qquad \forall\, v \in E_0. \tag{8.22}$$

9. The eigenvalue problem for the strong interaction

In this section we want to solve the free eigenvalue problem for the matter Hamiltonian H_{M_1} in the $\mathrm{SU}(n)$, $n \ge 2$, model. The Hamiltonian can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_{M_1}\Psi &= (-a^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_{\alpha\beta} + V\Psi) + (-\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta\Psi + U\Psi) + H_{F_1}\Psi \\ &\equiv H_1\Psi + H_2\Psi + H_{F_1}\Psi. \end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

The operator H_1 depends on the variables $(\varphi_0, z^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2n}$, H_2 on the variables $(\varPhi^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and H_{F_1} acts on the fermions in a 2^{4n} dimensional subspace of the antisymmetric Fock space.

Symbolizing the differentiation with respect to φ_0 by a prime and the Laplace operator with respect to $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by $\tilde{\Delta}$, then

$$H_1\Psi = -\tfrac{1}{24}\Psi'' - \tfrac{1}{12}\tilde{\Delta}\Psi + V(\varphi_0, z)\Psi. \tag{9.2}$$

9.1. **Definition.** (i) To solve the eigenvalue problem for the operator H_1, we choose a largest subspace $E_1 \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+2n})$ the elements of which satisfy the constraint equations for the constrained operators $l_{k,m}$ and l_k with eigenvalue $r = 0$ and the invariance conditions, and define the Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \bar{E}_1^{\|\cdot\|}, \tag{9.3}$$

as the completion of E_1 in the L^2-norm, abbreviated simply by $\|\cdot\|$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1$ as the completion of E_1 with respect to the norm

$$\langle u, u\rangle_1 = \|u\|_1^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (|Du|^2 + |x|^4|u|^2) \tag{9.4}$$

where $x = (x^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2n}$.

(ii) In case of the operator H_2, we first have to choose one of the joint eigenspaces F_{σ_k} of the fermionic constraint operators, cf. Remark 5.8 on page 24. Let $E_2 = E_2(\sigma_k)$ be the matching largest subspace of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{C})$ such that the constraint equations will be satisfied for

$$u \otimes \eta, \qquad \forall\, (u, \eta) \in (E_2 \times F_{\sigma_k}). \tag{9.5}$$

Then we define the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_2(\sigma_k)$ as the completion of E_2 with respect to the L^2-norm

$$\langle u, u\rangle = \|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4n}} |u|^2 \tag{9.6}$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$ as the completion of E_2 with respect to the norm

$$\langle u, u\rangle_1 = \|u\|_1^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (|Du|^2 + |x|^{2p}|u|^2), \tag{9.7}$$

where $x = (x^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and p the exponent in (3.34) on page 8.

We then have to solve three eigenvalue problems for the Hamiltonians H_i in $\mathcal{H}_i$, $1 \le i \le 2$, and for the fermionic Hamiltonian H_{F_1} restricted to F_{σ_k}. H_{F_1} corresponds to a quadratic form, i.e., there holds

$$a(\xi, \eta) = \langle H_{F_1}\xi, \eta\rangle \qquad \forall\, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}_1, \tag{9.8}$$

where a is a hermitean bilinear form. In general the spaces F_{σ_k} will not be invariant with respect to H_{F_1}—note, however, that the 16 mutually orthogonal unit vectors given in Lemma 5.7 on page 23 are all eigenvectors of H_{F_1}. We therefore define a new fermionic Hamiltonian operator $H_f = H_f(\sigma_k)$ as the unique self-adjoint operator $H_f \in L(F_{\sigma_k}, F_{\sigma_k})$ satisfying

$$a(\xi, \eta) = \langle H_f\xi, \eta\rangle \qquad \forall\, \xi, \eta \in F_{\sigma_k}. \tag{9.9}$$

Its eigenvectors will then complement the eigenvectors of the bosonic Hamiltonians.

When solving the bosonic problems it suffices to look at just one operator, and we choose H_2 because the corresponding potential U is more general and the proof slightly more elaborate.

9.2. **Theorem.** *The linear operator H_2 with*

$$D(H_2) = E_2 \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \tag{9.10}$$

is symmetric and semi-bounded from below. Let $\hat{H}_2$ be its self-adjoint Friedrichs extension, then there exist countably many eigenvectors

$$u_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2 \tag{9.11}$$

with eigenvalues λ_i of finite multiplicities of $\hat{H}$,

$$\hat{H}_2 u_i = \lambda_i u_i, \tag{9.12}$$

satisfying

$$\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = 0 \qquad \forall\, i \neq j, \tag{9.13}$$

$$\lambda_i \le \lambda_{i+1} \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{i\to\infty} \lambda_i = \infty. \tag{9.14}$$

The (u_i) are complete in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$ as well as in $\mathcal{H}_2$.

Proof. (i) We shall derive the existence of eigenfunctions from a general variational problem. The symmetric operator H_2 defines a sesquilinear form a

$$a(u,v) = \langle H_2 u, v\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \{\tfrac{1}{2} D_i u D^i \bar{v} + U u \bar{v}\} \qquad \forall\, u.v \in D(H_2), \tag{9.15}$$

where we used that

$$H_2 u = -\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta u + Uu \qquad \forall\, u \in D(H_2), \tag{9.16}$$

and integrated by parts. In view of the estimates (3.34) on page 8 the quadratic form

$$a(u,u) + c_2 \|u\|^2 \tag{9.17}$$

is equivalent to

$$\langle u, u\rangle_1. \tag{9.18}$$

Furthermore, the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is compact relative to $\|\cdot\|_1$, i.e., if

$$u_i \rightharpoonup u \qquad \text{in } \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2, \tag{9.19}$$

then

$$u_i \to u \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{H}_2, \tag{9.20}$$

where we used the trivial embedding

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2; \tag{9.21}$$

the property described in (9.19), (9.20) can be rephrased that this embedding is compact.

The compactness proof is similar to the proof of [10, Lemma 6.8], where a one dimensional analogue has been considered, but the arguments in the higher dimensional case are the same.

A general variational argument which goes back to Courant-Hilbert, see e.g., [8], then yields the existence of a mutually orthogonal sequence (u_i) of eigenvectors solving the variational relation

$$a(u_i, v) = \lambda_i \langle u_i, v\rangle \qquad \forall\, v \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2, \tag{9.22}$$

such that the relations (9.13), (9.14) and the completeness claims in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$ as well as $\mathcal{H}_2$ are valid.

(ii) To prove (9.12) we consider the closure $\tilde{H}_2$ of H_2. Let $u \in D(\tilde{H}_2)$, then there exists a sequence $u_k \in D(H_2)$ such that

$$u_k \rightarrow u \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{H}_2, \tag{9.23}$$

and

$$H_2 u_k \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2 u \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{H}_2. \tag{9.24}$$

Define f_k formerly by

$$f_k = H_2 u_k. \tag{9.25}$$

Multiplying the equation

$$H_2(u_k - u_l) = f_k - f_l \tag{9.26}$$

by $(\bar{u}_k - \bar{u}_l)$ and integrating by parts we conclude

$$a(u_k - u_l, u_k - u_l) \le \|f_k - f_l\|\,\|u_k - u_l\|, \tag{9.27}$$

hence, (u_k) is also a Cauchy sequence in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$, and we conclude further

$$D(\tilde{H}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2. \tag{9.28}$$

The Friedrichs extension $\hat{H}_2$ of $\tilde{H}_2$ is then defined by

$$\hat{H}_2 = H_2^*{}_{|_{D(H_2^*)\cap \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2}}, \tag{9.29}$$

where H_2^* is the adjoint of H_2.

Now, let u_i be an arbitrary solution of (9.22), then we deduce immediately

$$u_i \in D(H_2^*) \quad \wedge \quad H_2^* u_i = \lambda_i u_i, \tag{9.30}$$

proving (9.12). ☐

A finite number of the eigenvalues λ_i of the variational solutions can be negative, since the potential U is not supposed to be non-negative, but only subject to the estimates in (3.34) on page 8.

The positivity of the smallest eigenvalue λ_0 can be guaranteed under the following assumptions:

9.3. **Theorem.** *Let* c_1, c_2 *be the constants in* (3.34) *and let* c_1 *be fixed, then there exists a positive constant* c_0 *such that the smallest eigenvalue* λ_0 *of the variational problems* (9.22) *is strictly positive provided*

$$c_2 < c_0. \tag{9.31}$$

Moreover, for fixed c_2*, let*

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(c_1) \tag{9.32}$$

be the smallest eigenvalue, then

$$\liminf_{c_1 \to \infty} \lambda_0(c_1) = \infty. \tag{9.33}$$

Proof. (i) Let us first prove the positivity of λ_0, if (9.31) is satisfied. The eigenfunction of the smallest eigenvalue λ_0 is a solution of the variational problem

$$J(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (\tfrac{1}{2}|Dv|^2 + U|v|^2) \rightarrow \min \qquad \forall\, v \in K, \tag{9.34}$$

where

$$K = \{\, v \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 \colon \|v\| = 1 \,\}. \tag{9.35}$$

In view of (3.34) on page 8 J can be estimated from below by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (\tfrac{1}{2}|Dv|^2 + c_1|x|^{2p}|v|^2 - c_2|v|^2). \tag{9.36}$$

Denote by $\tilde{J}$ the functional

$$\tilde{J}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (\tfrac{1}{2}|Dv|^2 + c_1|x|^{2p}|v|^2), \tag{9.37}$$

then the variational problem

$$\tilde{J}(v) \rightarrow \min \qquad \forall\, v \in K \tag{9.38}$$

has a solution $\tilde{u}_0$ with eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}_0 > 0$, i.e., there holds

$$0 < \tilde{\lambda}_0 = \tilde{J}(\tilde{u}_0) \le \tilde{J}(v) \qquad \forall\, v \in K. \tag{9.39}$$

Thus, setting

$$c_0 = \tilde{\lambda}_0 \tag{9.40}$$

will prove the first claim.

(ii) To prove (9.33), we argue by contradiction. Let $c_{1,k}$ be sequence converging to infinity and u_k a corresponding sequence of first eigenfunctions such that

$$\lambda_{0,k} \le \text{const} \qquad \forall\, k. \tag{9.41}$$

Hence, we have

$$J(u_k) = \lambda_{0,k} = \lambda_{0,k}\|u_k\|^2. \tag{9.42}$$

Since c_2 is fixed, we deduce from (9.36)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (\tfrac{1}{2}|Du_k|^2 + c_{1,k}|x|^{2p}|u_k|^2) \le \lambda_{0,k} + c_2 \le c. \tag{9.43}$$

The sequence (u_k) is therefore bounded in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$ and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |x|^{2p}|u_k|^2 = 0, \tag{9.44}$$

and we conclude, since the embedding

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2 \tag{9.45}$$

is compact, that a subsequence, not relabeled, converges weakly in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$ to a function u such that

$$u_k \to u \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{H}_2; \tag{9.46}$$

hence, $\|u\| = 1$ contradicting

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |x|^{2p}|u|^2 \le \lim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |x|^{2p}|u_k|^2 = 0. \tag{9.47}$$

□

For the Hamiltonian H_1 similar results are valid. The potential V then satisfies

$$c_1|x|^4 \le V, \qquad c_1 > 0, \tag{9.48}$$

if $x = (x^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2n}$. Hence, the smallest eigenvalue λ_0 is always positive, but we cannot manipulate its size, since we cannot adjust V.

Combining the results for the Hamiltonians H_1, H_2, and H_{F_1} we have proved:

9.4. **Theorem.** *For each $F_{\sigma_k} \subset \mathcal{F}_1$, $1 \le k \le 9$, there exist infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ and corresponding self-adjoint operators $\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2$ and H_f in F_{σ_k}, such that the functions in*

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes F_{\sigma_k} \tag{9.49}$$

satisfy the constraint equations, and complete sequences of eigenfunctions

$$u_i \in \mathcal{H}_1 \quad \wedge \quad v_j \in \mathcal{H}_2 \tag{9.50}$$

for $\hat{H}_1$ resp. $\hat{H}_2$ and finitely many eigenvectors for H_f

$$\eta_l \in F_{\sigma_k}. \tag{9.51}$$

The products

$$\Psi_{ijl} = u_i \otimes v_j \otimes \eta_l \tag{9.52}$$

are then eigenfunctions of

$$\hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2 + H_f. \tag{9.53}$$

Relabeling the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions we get a sequence of eigenvalues λ_i *and corresponding eigenfunctions* Ψ_i *such that*

$$0 < \lambda_i \le \lambda_{i+1} \quad \wedge \quad \lim \lambda_i = \infty, \tag{9.54}$$

$$\hat{H}_2 \Psi_i = \lambda_i \Psi_i, \tag{9.55}$$

where, by abusing the notation, we define

$$\hat{H}_2 = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2 + H_f, \tag{9.56}$$

and

$$D(\hat{H}_2) = \langle (\Psi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \rangle. \tag{9.57}$$

$\hat{H}_2$ *is then essentially self-adjoint in*

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes F_{\sigma_k}. \tag{9.58}$$

10. THE EIGENVALUE PROBLEM FOR THE ELECTRO-WEAK INTERACTION

The matter Hamiltonian of the electro-weak interaction is the sum of two Hamiltonians which are strongly coupled and cannot be treated separately.

$$H_{M_2} = H_3 + H_{\mathcal{F}_2} \tag{10.1}$$

The bosonic variables are $(\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}_0, \hat{z}, \varphi^i)$, where $\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}_0$ are real variables, $\hat{z}$ complex and (φ^i) a complex doublet, the Higgs field. Only $\hat{z}$ and φ^i are related with the constraint equations.

Let us denote the coordinates according to

$$(\tilde{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}_0, \hat{z}, \varphi^i) \to (x, y, \hat{x} + i\hat{y}, \xi^i + i\eta^i). \tag{10.2}$$

and the Laplacians in $\mathbb{R}^2$ resp. $\mathbb{R}^4$ by $\tilde{\Delta}$ resp. $\bar{\Delta}$.

With these notations there holds

$$\begin{aligned} H_3\Psi = &-\tfrac{1}{12}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial x^2} - \tfrac{1}{24}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial y^2} - \tfrac{1}{12}\tilde{\Delta}\Psi - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\Delta}\Psi + \hat{V} + \hat{U} \\ &+ 3x^4 + 3\bar{\mu}x^2 + \tfrac{3}{2}g_2^2 x^2(|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2) + \tfrac{3}{2}g_2 x \bar{L}_i^\alpha L_\alpha^i \\ &+ h_e\big(\xi_i(\bar{e}_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha} + \bar{L}^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha}) \\ &\qquad + \eta_i(-i\bar{e}_{R\alpha}L^{i\alpha} + i\bar{L}^{i\alpha}e_{R\alpha})\big), \end{aligned} \tag{10.3}$$

where $1 \le \alpha \le 2$, $1 \le i \le 2$.

The potential $\hat{V}$ is defined by

$$\hat{V} = |y|^4 + 8y^2(\hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2) + (\hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2)^2, \tag{10.4}$$

and $\hat{U}$ by

$$\hat{U} = b_0(|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^2 - m_1^2(|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2), \tag{10.5}$$

where $b_0 > 0$ and m_1 can be real or imaginary.

Let $\bar{V}$ be the potential

$$\bar{V} = 3x^4 + 3\bar{\mu}x^2 + \tfrac{3}{2}g_2^2 x^2(|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2), \tag{10.6}$$

then we see that the sum of all three potentials has the same structure as the potentials in the case of the strong interaction, namely,

$$-c_2+c_1|x|^4\le\bar V+\hat V+\hat U\le c_1'|x|^4+c_2', \tag{10.7}$$

where $x\in\mathbb{R}^8$—but this usage is restricted to this particular estimate.

We also see that the fermionic operators have coefficients depending on (x,ξ^k,η^k) and therefore the eigenvalue problem cannot be separated in bosonic and fermionic parts but has to treated in a fermions valued function space. The eigenfunctions will be non-trivial fermionic fields

$$\Psi:\mathbb{R}^8\rightarrow\mathcal{F}_2, \tag{10.8}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_2$ is the subspace of the antisymmetric Fock space spanned by the fermions.

H_3 is obviously formerly self-adjoint and the eigenvalues of the fermionic operators—disregarding their coefficients as well as g_2 and h_e—are absolutely bounded by a numerical constant α_0.

Thus, using the symbol u instead of Ψ, if

$$u,v\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8,\mathcal{F}_2) \tag{10.9}$$

then

$$\langle H_3u,v\rangle=\langle u,H_3v\rangle \tag{10.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle H_3u,u\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^8}\{&a^{ij}\langle D_iu,D_ju\rangle+(\bar V+\hat V+\hat U)\|u\|^2\\&+\tfrac32g_2xa_0(u,u)+h_e(\xi^ka_k(u,u)+\eta^kb_k(u,u))\},\end{aligned} \tag{10.11}$$

where

$$-a^{ij}D_iD_ju \tag{10.12}$$

represents the elliptic main differential part of H_3, and a_0, a_k, b_k, $1\le k\le2$, are the sesquilinear fermionic forms, e.g.,

$$a_0=\tfrac12\bar L_k^\alpha L_\alpha^k, \tag{10.13}$$

and the scalar product under the integral sign is the scalar product in $\mathcal{F}_2$ with corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Let $\chi\in\mathcal{F}_2$ be normalized, $\|\chi\|=1$, then

$$\max(|a_0(\chi,\chi)|,|a_k(\chi,\chi)|,|b_k(\chi,\chi)|)\le\alpha_0\quad\forall\,1\le k\le2, \tag{10.14}$$

and we deduce, that for any $\delta>0$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle H_3u,u\rangle\ge\int_{\mathbb{R}^8}\{&a^{ij}\langle D_iu,D_ju\rangle+(\bar V+\hat V+\hat U)\|u\|^2\\&-c\big(g_2^2|x|^2+h_e^2(|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2)\big)\alpha_0^2\delta^{-1}\|u\|^2-\delta\|u\|^2\},\end{aligned} \tag{10.15}$$

where c is a numerical constant.

Note that u has values in $\mathcal{F}_2$, i.e., if we fix an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{F}_2$,

$$u=(u^A), \tag{10.16}$$

then

$$a^{ij}\langle D_i u, D_j u\rangle = a^{ij} D_i u^A D_j \bar{u}_A, \tag{10.17}$$

and

$$c_1\|Du\|^2 \le a^{ij}\langle D_i u, D_j u\rangle \le c_2\|Du\|^2, \tag{10.18}$$

where c_1, c_2 are positive numerical constants, and the norm is the norm in $\mathcal{F}_2$.

To solve the eigenvalue problem we first have to define the Hilbert space. Fix an eigenspace F_{ρ_a}, $1 \le a \le 9$, of $\hat{\lambda}_0$ in $\mathcal{F}_2$, cf. (8.9) on page 34, and let $E_0 \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C})$ resp. $E \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C})$ be matching subspaces, cf. Theorem 8.1 on page 34 and the remarks at the end of Section 8. Then we define

$$E = E(\rho_a) = E_0 \otimes E \otimes F_{\rho_a} \tag{10.19}$$

and consider E as a subspace of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8, F_{\rho_a})$

$$E \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8, F_{\rho_a}), \tag{10.20}$$

where its elements are functions

$$u = u(x) = (u^A) \tag{10.21}$$

with pointwise norm

$$\|u\|^2 = u^A \bar{u}_A. \tag{10.22}$$

10.1. **Definition.** Let $\mathcal{H}_3$ be the completion of E with respect to the L^2-norm, where we define for $u \in E$

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} \|u\|^2; \tag{10.23}$$

the norm inside the integral is the norm in $\mathcal{F}_2$.

The Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3$ is defined as the completion of E with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_1^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} \{\|Du\|^2 + |x|^4\|u\|^2\}. \tag{10.24}$$

Though E is invariant with respect to the potentials and the respective Laplace operators it is not invariant with respect to H_3 because of the fermionic operators which also depend on spatial variables. To define a meaningful symmetric operator satisfying the constraints, we consider the quadratic form associated with H_3 which is defined in (10.11). Denote this quadratic form by a_3,

$$a_3(u,v) = \langle H_3 u, v\rangle \qquad \forall\, u, v \in E. \tag{10.25}$$

In view of the estimate in (10.15), a_3 is semi-bounded from below in $\mathcal{H}_3$, or more precisely, we have

$$a_3(u,u) \ge c_1\|u\|_1^2 - c_2\|u\|^2 \qquad \forall\, u \in E; \tag{10.26}$$

for a proof simply choose the parameter δ in (10.15) large enough.

On the other hand, a_3 can be estimated from above by

$$a_3(u,u) \le c_1'\|u\|_1^2 + c_2'\|u\|^2 \le c_1'\|u\|_1^2 \qquad \forall\, u \in E, \tag{10.27}$$

where the second inequality is valid because of the embedding

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_3 \tag{10.28}$$

is compact; the constant c_1' in the second inequality is of course different from the corresponding constant in the first inequality.

Thus, a_3 has a natural extension to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3$ and we can apply the general variational principle to find a complete set of eigenfunctions.

10.2. **Theorem.** *There exists a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions u_i with real eigenvalues λ_i of finite multiplicities such that*

$$a_3(u_i,v) = \lambda_i\langle u_i, v\rangle \qquad \forall\, v \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3, \tag{10.29}$$

$$\lambda_i \le \lambda_{i+1} \quad \wedge \quad \lim \lambda_i = \infty, \tag{10.30}$$

and

$$a_3(u_i,u_j) = \langle u_i, u_j\rangle = 0 \qquad \forall\, i \ne j. \tag{10.31}$$

Define the linear operator T_3 by

$$D(T_3) = \langle (u_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\rangle \quad \wedge \quad T_3u_i = \lambda_i u_i \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{10.32}$$

then T_3 is densely defined in $\mathcal{H}_3$, symmetric, essentially self-adjoint and there holds

$$a_3(u,v) = \langle T_3u, v\rangle \qquad \forall\, u,v \in D(T_3). \tag{10.33}$$

Proof. We only have to prove the claims about the operator T_3. T_3 is certainly densely defined and satisfies (10.33), since this relation is valid for $u = u_i$

Hence T_3 is symmetric and it remains to prove the essential self-adjointness. Thus it suffices to prove

$$\overline{R(T_3 \pm i)} = \mathcal{H}_3. \tag{10.34}$$

But these relations are obviously valid, since

$$u_i \in R(T_3 \pm i) \qquad \forall\, i. \tag{10.35}$$

□

The closure of T_3 is then the self-adjoint operator we are looking for

$$\hat{H}_3 = \hat{H}_3(\rho_a) = \bar{T}_3. \tag{10.36}$$

As in the case of the strong interaction a finite number of eigenvalues could be negative. This can be excluded by adjusting the free parameters $\bar{\mu}$ and b_0 in the potentials $\bar{V}$ and $\hat{U}$ appropriately.

Using the notations in (10.2), (10.3) and the definitions of the potentials $\bar{V}$, $\hat{V}$, $\hat{U}$ in (10.6), (10.4), (10.5) we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{V}+\hat{V}+\hat{U} &\ge 3\bar{\mu}|x|^2+b_0(|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2)^2\\ &\quad+3|x|^4+|y|^4+(\hat{x}^2+\hat{y}^2)^2-m_1^2(|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2),\end{aligned}\tag{10.37}$$

and we conclude further, in view of (10.15),

$$\begin{aligned}&a_3(u,u)\ge\\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^8}\{c_1\|Du\|^2+\big((b_0-\tfrac{c^2}{2}h_e^4\alpha_0^4\delta^{-3}-\tfrac{|m|_1^4}{2}\delta^{-1})(|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2)^2\\ &\qquad+3|x|^4+|y|^4+(\hat{x}^2+\hat{y}^2)^2-2\delta\big)\|u\|^2\},\end{aligned}\tag{10.38}$$

provided

$$3\bar{\mu}\ge cg_2^2\alpha_0^2\delta^{-1}.\tag{10.39}$$

Hence, we conclude, as in the proof of Theorem 9.3 on page 39:

10.3. **Theorem.** *There exists a constant $\delta=\delta(c_1)>0$ such that the eigenvalues λ_i are strictly positive provided*

$$b_0\ge\tfrac{c^2}{2}h_e^4\alpha_0^4\delta^{-3}+\tfrac{|m|_1^4}{2}\delta^{-1}+1\tag{10.40}$$

and $\bar{\mu}$ satisfies (10.39).

We have thus solved the eigenvalue problem for each subspace $F_{\rho_a}\subset\mathcal{F}_2$ in a corresponding Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_3(\rho_a).\tag{10.41}$$

These Hilbert spaces are mutually orthogonal subspaces of

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^8)\otimes\mathcal{F}_2\cong L^2(\mathbb{R}^8,\mathcal{F}_2).\tag{10.42}$$

The self-adjoint operators $\hat{H}_3(\rho_a)$ then define a unique self-adjoint operator $\hat{H}_3$ in

$$\bigoplus_{a=1}^{9}\mathcal{H}_3(\rho_a)\tag{10.43}$$

such that

$$\hat{H}_{3|_{\mathcal{H}_3(\rho_a)}}=\hat{H}_3(\rho_a)\qquad\forall\,1\le a\le 9.\tag{10.44}$$

11. THE SPECTRAL RESOLUTION

We shall now prove the spectral resolution of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation for the full Hamiltonian when gravity is combined with the strong and electroweak interactions. Our proof will even be valid when a finite number of matter fields are involved. However, except for the actual proof, we shall only consider the two interactions we are dealing with to simplify the presentation.

For arbitrary but fixed σ_k, ρ_a, $1 \le a,k \le 9$, let $\mathcal{H}_2(\sigma_k)$, $\mathcal{H}_3(\rho_a)$ be the corresponding Hilbert spaces and $\hat{H}_2$ resp. $\hat{H}_3$ the (essentially) self-adjoint operators solving the eigenvalue problems

$$\hat{H}_2 u_i = \lambda_i u_i \qquad u_i \in \mathcal{H}_2, \tag{11.1}$$

resp.

$$\hat{H}_3 v_j = \mu_j v_j \qquad v_j \in \mathcal{H}_3, \tag{11.2}$$

cf. Theorem 9.4 on page 40 resp. Theorem 10.2 on page 44.

The functions

$$\varphi_{ij} = u_i \otimes v_j \in \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3 \tag{11.3}$$

are then eigenfunctions of the operator

$$\hat{H}_1 = \hat{H}_2 + \hat{H}_3, \tag{11.4}$$

$$\hat{H}_1 \varphi_{ij} = (\lambda_i + \mu_j)\varphi_{ij}, \tag{11.5}$$

where

$$D(\hat{H}_1) = \langle (\varphi_{ij})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}} \rangle. \tag{11.6}$$

We require that

$$\lambda_i + \mu_j > 0 \qquad \forall\, (i,j). \tag{11.7}$$

In view of the results in Theorem 9.3 on page 39 and Theorem 10.3 on page 45 this can always be achieved by choosing the parameters in the potentials appropriately.

After relabeling the countably many eigenvalues and eigenfunctions we may assume that (φ_i, μ_i) are solutions of the eigenvalue problem for $\hat{H}_1$ satisfying

$$\hat{H}_1 \varphi_i = \mu_i \varphi_i \tag{11.8}$$

such that the (φ_i) are complete in $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$ and the eigenvalues μ_i have finite multiplicities such that

$$0 < \mu_i \le \mu_{i+1} \quad \wedge \quad \lim \mu_i = \infty. \tag{11.9}$$

We also note that the elements $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1$ are viewed as maps

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{4n+9} \to F_{\sigma_k} \otimes F_{\rho_a} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \tag{11.10}$$

i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4n+9}, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \tag{11.11}$$

We are therefore in a similar situation as in [11], where we considered a related problem.

The Wheeler-DeWitt equation can now be written in the form

$$H_0\Psi - \hat{H}_1\Psi = 0, \tag{11.12}$$

where Ψ has to satisfy the constraints. The constraints will be satisfied, if we split Ψ in the form

$$\Psi = u \otimes \varphi, \tag{11.13}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and u is a complex valued function

$$u = u(f) \equiv u(t) \tag{11.14}$$

depending on the real variable f which we shall also denote by t.

The operator H_0 is the differential operator

$$H_0 u = -\frac{\alpha_M}{24} e^{-(2n+5)t}\Big(e^{(2n+3)t}u'\Big)' - 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{4t}u \tag{11.15}$$

cf. (7.16) on page 32, where a dot or prime indicates differentiation with respect to t.

The exponents $(2n+3)$ resp. $(2n+5)$ depend on the number of the bosonic dynamical variables. To solve the Wheeler-deWitt equation for an arbitrary number of matter fields with m dynamical bosonic variables, we consider the operator

$$H_0 u = -\frac{\alpha_M}{24} e^{-\frac{(m+1)}{2}t}\Big(e^{\frac{(m-3)}{2}t}u'\Big)' - 2\alpha_M^{-1}\varLambda e^{4t}u. \tag{11.16}$$

In our present situation there holds

$$m = 4n + 9. \tag{11.17}$$

Let $\tilde{H}_0$ be defined by

$$\tilde{H}_0 u = -\frac{\alpha_M}{24} e^{-\frac{(m+1)}{2}t}\Big(e^{\frac{(m-3)}{2}t}u'\Big)' + 2\alpha_M^{-1} e^{4t}u. \tag{11.18}$$

Then, we first want to solve the eigenvalue problems

$$\tilde{H}_0 u = \lambda u \tag{11.19}$$

in an appropriate function space.

11.1. **Definition.** For $p = \frac{m-3}{2}$ define $\mathcal{H}_0$ as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^2 e^{(p+2)t} \tag{11.20}$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0$ as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_1^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{|\dot{u}|^2 e^{pt} + |u|^2 e^{(p+6)t}\}. \tag{11.21}$$

11.2. **Lemma.** *The norm $\|\cdot\|$ is compact relative to $\|\cdot\|_1$.*

Proof. Let $u_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0$ be a sequence converging weakly to zero, then we have to prove

$$\lim \|u_k\| = 0. \tag{11.22}$$

Let $I = (a, b)$ be any bounded interval and $\chi = \chi_I$ be its characteristic function, then

$$\lim \|u_k \chi_I\| = 0, \tag{11.23}$$

in view of the Sobolev embedding theorem saying that the embedding

$$H^{1,2}(I) \hookrightarrow L^2(I) \tag{11.24}$$

is compact.

Thus, we only have to prove

$$\limsup \int_b^\infty |u_k|^2 e^{(p+2)t} \le \epsilon(b), \tag{11.25}$$

where

$$\lim_{b\to\infty} \epsilon(b) = 0, \tag{11.26}$$

and a similar estimate in $(-\infty, b)$, $b << -1$,

$$\limsup \int_{-\infty}^b |u_k|^2 e^{(p+2)t} \le \epsilon(b). \tag{11.27}$$

Let us first prove (11.25), which is almost trivial. From

$$\|u_k\|_1 \le c \qquad \forall\, k \tag{11.28}$$

we deduce

$$\int_b^\infty |u_k|^2 e^{(p+2)t} \le e^{-4b} \int_b^\infty |u_k| e^{(p+6)t} \le c e^{-4b} \equiv \epsilon(b), \tag{11.29}$$

which implies (11.26).

The proof of (11.27) is a bit more delicate. First, we make a change of variables setting

$$\tau = -t \tag{11.30}$$

such that the crucial estimate for $u_k = u_k(\tau)$ is

$$\limsup \int_b^\infty |u_k|^2 e^{-(p+2)\tau} \le \epsilon(b). \tag{11.31}$$

Replacing u_k by

$$u_k \eta, \tag{11.32}$$

where η is a cut-off function, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\operatorname{supp} u_k \subset (\tau_1, \infty), \qquad \tau_1 > 3. \tag{11.33}$$

We then only use the estimate

$$\int_0^\infty |\dot u_k|^2 e^{-p\tau} \le c \qquad \forall\, k \tag{11.34}$$

and the Hardy-Littlewood inequality

$$\int_0^\infty |u|^2\tau^{-\sigma} \le \Big(\frac{2}{|\sigma-1|}\Big)^2\int_0^\infty |\dot u|^2\tau^{(-\sigma+2)}, \tag{11.35}$$

which is valid for all $u\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and all $1\ne\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$, cf. [12, Theorem 3.30].

We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $p=0$.

Then, we may choose in (11.35) $\sigma=2$ and $u=u_k$ to deduce

$$\int_0^\infty |u_k|^2\tau^{-2} \le 4\int_0^\infty |\dot u_k|^2 \le 4c, \tag{11.36}$$

and we conclude further

$$\int_b^\infty |u_k|^2e^{-2\tau} \le b^2e^{-2b}\int_b^\infty |u_k|^2\tau^{-2}, \tag{11.37}$$

if $b>1$, hence the result.

Case 2: $p\ne 0$

If $p\ne 0$, we employ another variable transformation

$$r=e^\tau, \tag{11.38}$$

such that

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}u\equiv\dot u=\frac{d}{dr}ue^\tau\equiv u'e^\tau, \tag{11.39}$$

and we infer

$$\int_0^\infty |u_k'|^2r^{(1-p)} = \int_0^\infty |\dot u_k|^2e^{-p\tau}\le c, \tag{11.40}$$

in view of (11.33) and (11.34).

Thus, we may apply the Hardy-Littlewood inequality with

$$\sigma=p+1 \tag{11.41}$$

to derive

$$\int_{r_0}^\infty |u_k|^2r^{-(p+3)} \le r_0^{-2}\int_{r_0}^\infty |u_k|^2r^{-(p+1)}\le cr_0^{-2}=\epsilon(r_0),\quad r_0>1, \tag{11.42}$$

where we used (11.33), completing the proof of the lemma. □

Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be the scalar product

$$\langle u,v\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}} u\bar v e^{pt} \tag{11.43}$$

in $\mathcal{H}_0$ and

$$a(u,v)=\langle\tilde H_0u,v\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big\{\frac{\alpha_M}{24}\dot u\dot{\bar v}+2\alpha_M^{-1}u\bar v e^{(p+6)t}\Big\}\quad\forall\, u,v\in\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0, \tag{11.44}$$

then, by applying the general variational eigenvalue principle, we obtain:

11.3. **Theorem.** *There exists a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions $\tilde{u}_i$ with strictly positive eigenvalues $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ with finite multiplicities such that*

$$0<\tilde{\lambda}_i\le\tilde{\lambda}_{i+1}\quad\wedge\quad\lim\tilde{\lambda}_i=\infty,\tag{11.45}$$

$$a(\tilde{u}_i,v)=\tilde{\lambda}_i\langle\tilde{u}_i,v\rangle\qquad\forall\,v\in\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0,\tag{11.46}$$

and

$$a(\tilde{u}_i,\tilde{u}_j)=\langle u_i,u_j\rangle=0\qquad\forall\,i\neq j.\tag{11.47}$$

Define the linear operator $\tilde{H}$ by

$$D(\tilde{H})=\langle(\tilde{u}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\rangle\quad\wedge\quad\tilde{H}\tilde{u}_i=\tilde{\lambda}_i\tilde{u}_i\quad\forall\,i,\tag{11.48}$$

then $\tilde{H}$ is densely defined in $\mathcal{H}_0$, symmetric, essentially self-adjoint and

$$a(u,v)=\langle\tilde{H}u,v\rangle\qquad\forall\,u,v\in D(\tilde{H}).\tag{11.49}$$

Moreover, there holds

$$\tilde{u}_i\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})\tag{11.50}$$

and

$$\tilde{H}_0\tilde{u}_i=\tilde{H}u_i=\tilde{\lambda}_i\tilde{u}_i.\tag{11.51}$$

Proof. We only have to prove (11.50) and (11.51), since the proof of the other statements is identical to the proof of Theorem 10.2 on page 44.

From (11.46) we immediately deduce

$$\tilde{H}_0\tilde{u}_i=\tilde{\lambda}_i\tilde{u}_i\tag{11.52}$$

in the distributional sense, hence (11.50) is valid, which in turn implies (11.51). ☐

An immediate consequence of the preceding result is:

11.4. **Theorem.** *Let $\mu>0$, then the pairs $(\tilde{u}_i,\lambda_i)$ represent a complete set of eigenfunctions with eigenvalues*

$$\lambda_i=\tilde{\lambda}_i\mu^{-1}\tag{11.53}$$

for the eigenvalue problems

$$\tilde{H}_0u=\lambda\mu u.\tag{11.54}$$

The rescaled functions

$$u_i(t)=\tilde{u}_i(t-\tfrac{1}{2}\log\lambda_i)\tag{11.55}$$

then satisfy

$$-\frac{\alpha_M}{24}e^{-\frac{(m+1)}{2}t}\Big(e^{\frac{(m-3)}{2}t}u_i'\Big)'+2\alpha_M^{-1}\lambda_i^{-3}e^{4t}u_i=\mu u_i,\tag{11.56}$$

or, if we set

$$\varLambda_i=-\lambda_i^{-3},\tag{11.57}$$

$$-\frac{\alpha_M}{24}e^{-\frac{(m+1)}{2}t}\Big(e^{\frac{(m-3)}{2}t}u_i'\Big)' - 2\alpha_M^{-1}\Lambda_i e^{4t}u_i = \mu u_i. \tag{11.58}$$

We can now prove the spectral resolution of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. Let (μ,φ) resp. $(\lambda,\tilde{u})$ be a solution of

$$\hat{H}_1\varphi = \mu\varphi \tag{11.59}$$

resp.

$$\tilde{H}_0\tilde{u} = \lambda\mu\tilde{u}, \tag{11.60}$$

and set

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \tilde{u}\otimes\varphi, \tag{11.61}$$

then

$$\tilde{H}_0\tilde{\Psi} = \lambda\hat{H}_1\tilde{\Psi}, \tag{11.62}$$

or equivalently, in view of the preceding theorem,

$$H_0\Psi - \hat{H}_1\Psi = 0, \tag{11.63}$$

where

$$\Psi = u\otimes\varphi, \tag{11.64}$$

$$u(t) = \tilde{u}(t - \tfrac{1}{2}\log\lambda), \tag{11.65}$$

$$H_0\Psi = -\frac{\alpha_M}{24}e^{-\frac{(m+1)}{2}t}\Big(e^{\frac{(m-3)}{2}t}\Psi'\Big)' - 2\alpha_M^{-1}\Lambda e^{4t}\Psi, \tag{11.66}$$

and

$$\Lambda = -\lambda^{-3}. \tag{11.67}$$

One easily checks that Ψ belongs to

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_0\otimes\mathcal{H}_1, \tag{11.68}$$

cf. the corresponding considerations in [11, section 3].

Let $\tilde{u}_i$ resp. φ_j be the eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}_0$ resp. $\hat{H}_1$, then

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{ij} = \tilde{u}_j\otimes\varphi_j \tag{11.69}$$

form a complete set of eigenfunctions in $\mathcal{H}_0\otimes\mathcal{H}_1$ of the linear operator

$$H = \tilde{H}_0\hat{H}_1^{-1} = \hat{H}_1^{-1}\tilde{H}_0, \tag{11.70}$$

such that

$$H\tilde{\Psi}_{ij} = \lambda_{ij}\tilde{\Psi}_{ij} = \lambda_i\mu_j^{-1}\tilde{\Psi}_{ij}, \tag{11.71}$$

where

$$D(H) = \langle(\tilde{\Psi}_{ij})_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}\rangle. \tag{11.72}$$

The rescaled functions

$$\Psi(t,\cdot) = \tilde{\Psi}(t - \tfrac{1}{2}\log\lambda_{ij},\cdot) \tag{11.73}$$

are solutions of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation with cosmological constant

$$\Lambda_{ij} = -\lambda_{ij}^{-3}. \tag{11.74}$$

11.5. **Remark.** H is essentially self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1$ and we consider it to be the Hamiltonian associated with the physical system defined by the interaction of gravity with the matter fields. The properly rescaled eigenfunctions Ψ_{ij} are solutions of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. We refer to [11, section 3], where these connections have been explained and proved in greater detail.

The wave functions Ψ are maps from

$$\Psi : \mathbb{R}^{4n+10} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \tag{11.75}$$

and in general the eigenstates Ψ cannot be written as simple products

$$\Psi = u\eta, \tag{11.76}$$

such that

$$\eta \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \quad \wedge \quad u(x) \in \mathbb{C} \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{4n+10}. \tag{11.77}$$

Thus, in general it makes no sense specifying a fermion η and looking for an eigenfunction Ψ satisfying

$$R(\Psi) \subset \langle \eta \rangle. \tag{11.78}$$

References

[1] O. Bertolami, J. M. Mourao, R. F. Picken, and I. P. Volobuev, *Dynamics of euclideanized Einstein Yang-Mills systems with arbitrary gauge groups*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1991), 4149–4180, doi:10.1142/S0217751X91002045.

[2] R. Casalbuoni, *On the quantization of systems with anticommuting variables*, Il Nuovo Cimento A (1971–1996) **33** (1976), no. 1, 115–125, doi:10.1007/BF02748689.

[3] ———, *The classical mechanics for Bose-Fermi systems*, Il Nuovo Cimento A (1971–1996) **33** (1976), no. 3, 389–431, doi:10.1007/BF02729860.

[4] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 1958, 4th ed, reprinted 1978.

[5] Tohru Eguchi, Peter B. Gilkey, and Andrew J. Hanson, *Gravitation, gauge theories and differential geometry*, Phys. Rept. **66** (1980), 213, doi:10.1016/0370-1573(80)90130-1.

[6] Ljudvig D. Faddeev and Andrej A. Slavnov, *Gauge fields*, Frontiers in physics, vol. 50, The Benjamin Publishing Company, 1980.

[7] Theodore Frankel, *The geometry of physics*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[8] Claus Gerhardt, *Abstract eigenvalue problems with applications to the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator in compact manifolds, especially the sphere, spherical harmonics*, 1982, pdf file, handwritten lecture notes in German.

[9] ———, *Quantum cosmological Friedman models with a massive Yang-Mills field*, Class. Quantum Grav. **26** (2009), no. 13, 135013, arXiv:0903.1370, doi:10.1088/0264-9381/26/13/135013.

[10] ———, *Quantum cosmological Friedman models with an initial singularity*, Class. Quantum Grav. **26** (2009), no. 1, 015001, arXiv:0806.1769, doi:10.1088/0264-9381/26/1/015001.

[11] ______, *Quantum cosmological Friedman models with a Yang-Mills field and positive energy levels*, Class. Quantum Grav. **27** (2010), no. 3, 035007, arXiv:0907.5403, doi:10.1088/0264-9381/27/3/035007.

[12] Godfrey H. Hardy, John E. Littlewood, and George Pólya, *Inequalities*, Univ. Pr., Cambridge, 1973.

[13] P V Moniz and J M Mourao, *Homogeneous and isotropic closed cosmologies with a gauge sector*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **8** (1991), no. 10, 1815–1831, doi:10.1088/0264-9381/8/10/008.

[14] T Thiemann, *Kinematical Hilbert spaces for fermionic and Higgs quantum field theories*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **15** (1998), no. 6, 1487–1512, doi:10.1088/0264-9381/15/6/006.

[15] Steven Weinberg, *A model of leptons*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967), no. 21, 1264–1266, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.19.1264.

Ruprecht-Karls-Universität, Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Im Neuenheimer Feld 294, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
E-mail address: `gerhardt@math.uni-heidelberg.de`
URL: `http://web.me.com/gerhardt/`